

A new baissopterid snakefly (Raphidioptera: Baissopteridae) from mid-Cretaceous amber of northern Myanmar

Corentin Jouault, Michael Engel, André Nel

► To cite this version:

Corentin Jouault, Michael Engel, André Nel. A new baissopterid snakefly (Raphidioptera: Baissopteridae) from mid-Cretaceous amber of northern Myanmar. Cretaceous Research, 2022, 129, pp.105028. 10.1016/j.cretres.2021.105028. mnhn-04038650

HAL Id: mnhn-04038650 https://mnhn.hal.science/mnhn-04038650

Submitted on 16 Oct 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

- 1 A new baissopterid snakefly (Raphidioptera: Baissopteridae) from mid-Cretaceous amber of northern
- 2 Myanmar
- 3

4 CORENTIN JOUAULT^{1,*}, MICHAEL S. ENGEL^{2,3}, ANDRE NEL¹

- 5
- 6 ¹Institut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB) Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle,
- 7 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, CP50, 57 rue Cuvier 75005 Paris, France.
- 8 E-mail: jouaultc0@gmail.com, orcid.org/0000-0002-3680-5172 /anel@mnhn.fr, orcid.org/0000-0002-
- 9 4241-7651
- ¹⁰ ²Division of Invertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th
- 11 Street, New York, NY, 10024, USA
- 12 ³Division of Entomology, Natural History Museum, and Department of Ecology & Evolutionary
- 13 Biology, University of Kansas, 1501 Crestline Drive Suite 140, Lawrence, KS, 66045, USA. E-mail:
- 14 msengel@ku.edu; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3067-077X
- 15
- 16 * Corresponding author
- 17
- 18 Abstract

19 *Electrobaissoptera liui* sp. nov., a new species of the snakefly family Bassopteridae, is described and 20 figured from an individual preserved in mid-Cretaceous amber from Tanai, northern Myanmar. 21 *Electrobaissoptera liui* sp. nov. preserves nearly all of the known characters used to circumscribe the 22 family and genus, and is unique among bassopterids for possessing a complete ma-mp crossvein in the 23 hind wing, thereby dividing cell m1.

- 24
- 25 Keywords: Cenomanian; New species; Paleodiversity; Systematic

27 1. Introduction

28 Species of the order Raphidioptera, most frequently known as snakeflies, are easily distinguished from 29 other holometabolous insects owing to the combination of a prognathous head, a slender and elongate 30 prothorax, the presence of a pigmented pterostigma, and a long ovipositor. As larvae, with a terrestrial 31 life-style, they may be recognized by their elongate flattened body, a prognathous head with chewing-32 mandibulate mouthparts, and a soft 10-segmented abdomen. Adults and larvae are known to be 33 entomophagous and/or necrophagous, with larvae living under tree bark, and amid detritus around the 34 roots of shrubs (Kovarik et al., 1991; Aspöck, 2002). Some adults have been observed feeding on 35 pollen (Aspöck and Aspöck, 2009). Most remarkably and unique among homometabolan insects, 36 snakeflies have active pupae, with dectitious mouthparts, and are predators of small arthropods (Engel et al., 2018). 37

38 The Raphidioptera, together with Megaloptera and Neuroptera, comprise the superorder 39 Neuropterida, which has been presumed to have first diverged from other early Holometabola during 40 the Late Carboniferous (Vasilikopoulos et al., 2020). This estimate seems to agree with the age of the oldest putative stem-representatives of the superorder dated to the Permian (e.g., Shcherbakov 2013). 41 42 The oldest definitive Raphidiidae and Inocelliidae (the two extant families) are from Eocene, even if an 43 enigmatic taxon, Sinoinocellia liaoxiensis, was described as an inocelliid from the Callovian of China 44 (Wang, 1987). Sinoinocellia was poorly documented and needs to be critically reevaluated. However, 45 the Early Cretaceous age proposed by Vasilikopoulos et al. (2020) for crown-Raphidioptera is an 46 illustration of the discrepancies between node-dating estimates and stratigraphic/fossil evidence. The 47 'time divergence estimate using node-dating' method that Vasilikopoulos et al. (2020) employed, only 48 allows for the integration of families with extant representatives, which is a minor part of snakefly 49 diversity (25% of familial diversity, or even less if Mesoraphidiidae are paraphyletic, and definitively 50 much less at the specific level). Therefore, Vasilikopoulos et al. (2020) dated a potential divergence

26

51 time for the families Inocelliidae and Raphidiidae (i.e., Neoraphidioptera), rather than Raphidioptera. This is further complicated if Neoraphidioptera are polyphyetic, as one hypothesis considers 52 53 Inocelliidae and Raphidiidae to have originated separately from among clades of Mesoraphidiidae or 54 other Cretaceous snakeflies (e.g., Lu et al., 2020). To offer consistent dating, only a tip-dating or total-55 evidence dating approach would make it possible to properly consider the entire fossil record of the 56 order, and to propose ages that are more reflective of the true paleontological diversity of the lineage 57 (Jouault et al., 2021a,b). Nevertheless, putative representatives of stem-group Raphidioptera have been 58 recorded from deposits as early as the Permian (Shcherbakov, 2013; Engel et al., 2018) suggesting that 59 the order is at least of Permian age. During the Mesozoic, the order was speciose (e.g. Lu et al., 2020) 60 and widely distributed (http://fossilworks.org/), but it declined rapidly prior to and after the 61 Cretaceous/Cenozoic (K/T) event. The mid-Cretaceous amber from Myanmar provides important insight into their diversity and morphology prior to this decline. Herein, we describe and figure a new 62 63 species of snakefly from this rich deposit.

64

65 2. Material and methods

The amber piece containing the specimen comes from the deposits of Noije Bum in the Hukawng Valley (26° 29' N, 96° 35' E), Kachin State, northern Myanmar (see detailed map in Grimaldi and Ross, 2017: fig. 2). Radiometric data established an early Cenomanian age (98.79 \pm 0.62 Ma) for Kachin amber, based on zircons from volcanic clastes found within the amber-bearing sediments (Shi et al., 2012). Some ammonites found in the amber-bearing bed and within the amber itself corroborate a latest Albian–earliest Cenomanian age (Cruickshank and Ko, 2003; Yu et al., 2019).

The holotype of *Electrobaissoptera liui* sp. nov. is nearly complete, only damaged at the apices of the left wings and abdomen. The amber piece was polished using a polisher (Buehler EcoMet 30) and a thin silicon carbide sanding paper (grit size = 7000), and the specimen was examined and photographed with a Leica MZ APO with an attached Canon EOS 5D Mark II camera. All images were digi-

76	tally stacked photomicrographic composites of several individual focal planes, which were obtained
77	using Helicon Focus 6.7. The figures were composed with Adobe Illustrator CC2019 and Photoshop
78	CC2019. The wing venation and cell nomenclature follows Lu et al. (2020). The amber piece is housed
79	in the amber collection of the Geological Department and Museum of the University of Rennes, France
80	(IGR).
81	This published work and its new nomenclatural act are registered in ZooBank with the following LSID
82	(reference): urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:XXXX
83	
84	3. Systematic Paleontology
85	Order Raphidioptera Navás, 1916
86	Suborder Raphidiomorpha Engel, 2002
87	Family Baissopteridae Martynova, 1961
88	Genus Electrobaissoptera Lu et al., 2020
89	Included species: Electrobaissoptera burmanica Lu et al., 2020 (type species); Electrobaissoptera liui
90	sp. nov.
91	
92	Electrobaissoptera liui sp. nov.
93	Figs. 1–3
94	Lsid: XXXX
95	Type material. Holotype: IGR.BU-028 deposited in the Geological Department and Museum of the
96	University of Rennes, France (IGR) (in an amber piece measuring $20 \times 16 \times 5$ mm, with several minute
97	syninclusions and an orthopteran nymph plus a platypodid beetle).
98	Locality and horizon. Noije Bum Hill, Hukawng Valley, Kachin State, Myanmar; upper Albian to

99 lower Cenomanian, mid-Cretaceous.

Etymology. The specific epithet honors Prof. Xingyue Liu (College of Plant Protection, China Agri cultural University, Beijing) for his copious and superb contributions to our understanding of Neurop terida.

103 *Diagnosis*. Tarsomere III symmetrically bilobed, with a pair of wide lobes; forewing long elliptical, 104 ca. $3.25 \times$ as long as wide; pterostigma ca. $6.0 \times$ as long as wide; RA simple distad pterostigma; hind 105 wing with cell m1 divided into two parts by a complete ma-mp crossvein.

Description. Body length ca. 6.39 mm (as preserved); antenna length ca. 3.24 mm; forewing length
ca. 6.69 mm and width ca. 1.99 mm; hind wing partially preserved.

108 Body dark brown without distinctive color pattern (Fig. 1); head narrowly rectangular, with vertex 109 slightly longer than compound eye; occiput short; compound eyes ovoid, exophthalmic; ocelli present 110 (Figs. 1; 2A). Prothorax slender, elongate, slightly longer than head; meso- plus metathorax as long as 111 prothorax. Legs slender, densely covered with microtrichia; tarsomere III symmetrically bilobed, with a 112 pair of slender lobes (Fig. 2B); pretarsal claws forked at distal third, with an acutely tapering inner ra-113 mus (Fig. 2B). Forewing long elliptical, ca. 3.25× as long as wide (Figs. 1A,C; 3A); costal space broadened at proximal third, with five simple crossveins; ScP terminating into costal margin slightly 114 distad wing midpoint; four simple RA veinlets present; pterostigma ~6.0× as long as wide, uniformly 115 116 colored, closed by a crossvein proximally, distally ending at second veinlet of RA, with a straight but 117 distinctly inclined incorporated veinlet of RA; three radial crossveins present, three radial cells gradual-118 ly shortened, r1 longest, r2 broadest; RP forked proximad its midpoint, with two simple branches; two 119 rp-ma crossveins, forming two discal cells; MA with stem originating at MP1, distinctly distad initial 120 branching point of MP; MA deeply forked proximad its midpoint, with two simple branches, length of 121 branching of MA as long as branching of RP; three ma-mp crossveins, forming three medial cells; MP 122 deeply forked, with three terminal branches and a series of three discoidal cells; CuA marginally forked; 123 CuP simple; two cua-cup crossveins present; A1 and A2 simple. Hind wing slightly shorter and nar-124 rower than forewing (Figs. 1A; 3B); costal space narrow, with five crossveins; ScP terminating into

125 costal margin slightly proximad wing midpoint; four simple RA veinlets present; pterostigma similar to 126 that of forewing; five radial crossveins present, r3 longest and broadest, remaining radial cells almost 127 of equal lengths; RP forked proximad its midpoint, with two simple branches; two rp-ma crossveins, 128 forming two discal cells; MA with stem originating from base of MP stem, long and sinuate; MA forked at its midpoint, with two simple branches, branching of MA as long as branching of RP; four 129 130 ma-mp crossveins, forming three medial cells; MP deeply forked, with four terminal branches and a 131 series of three discoidal cells; cell m1 divided into two parts (m1a and m1b on the drawing) by a mamp crossvein, directed toward stem of MA; three mp-cua crossveins, with 1mp-cua almost vertical to 132 stem of MP; CuA distally forked; CuP simple; two cua-cup crossveins present; A1 and A2 simple. 133 Terminalia not preserved. 134

135

136 4. Discussion

137 The order Raphidioptera was quite diverse during the Mesozoic, with numerous genera and species de-138 scribed from amber inclusions and compression fossils (e.g., Lyu et al., 2017a,b; Lu et al., 2020). This 139 diversity has been organized into six extinct families Priscaenigmatidae, Chrysoraphidiidae (perhaps 140 best considered a subfamily of the former family), Juroraphidiidae, Baissopteridae, Mesoraphidiidae, 141 and Metaraphidiidae (also perhaps best classified as a subfamily of the preceding family) (Liu et al., 142 2014). The present fossil cannot be placed among the Priscaenigmatidae (sensu Engel, 2002) owing to 143 the presence of a broad costal area (vs. narrow); a short Sc that does not extend to the wing apex, and 144 fuses into C near the wing midpoint (vs. extending nearly to the wing apex before fusing with R); a dif-145 ferent configuration of CuP; and a distinct 'ovoid', basal cell between A1 and A2 (vs. absent) (Whalley, 146 1985: figs. 45–46). Similarly, the present specimen cannot be placed in Chrysoraphidiidae as diagnosed by Liu et al. (2013) owing to the possession of a simpler wing venation (i.e., fewer radial cells and ScA 147 not present); a short closed pterostigma (vs. elongate, open proximally, closed distally by veinlet of R); 148 149 Sc meeting C before the pterostigma (vs. running within the pterostigma to its distal end, connecting to

150 R by a distal oblique crossvein); MA branching (vs. simple); crossveins not arranged in two series of 151 gradate crossveins in the radial and mediocubital fields (vs. arranged as stated); A1 short and simple (vs. long, pectinately branched, with two branches). The current fossil cannot be placed in Juroraphidi-152 153 idae (as defined by Liu et al., 2014) due to the more complex wing venation (i.e., with numerous cells 154 and three discoidal cells); a relatively wide costal region (vs. narrow); the tarsi tetramerous, with tar-155 somere III bilobed (vs. pentamerous and tarsomere III simple); a short closed pterostigma (vs. elongate, 156 open proximally); a short Sc, terminating before the pterostigma (vs. long, running within the 157 pterostigma); and forewing MA forked (vs. simple). The fossil does not fall within Mesoraphidiidae as circumscribed owing to the presence of ocelli (vs. absent or rarely present) (Liu et al., 2016: figs 2, 5, 158 7); a more developed wing venation (i.e., with three cells doi, two cells dc; a pterostigmal RA veinlet, 159 160 vs. absent); pretarsal claws with a pre-apical ramus (vs. simple). The family Metaraphidiidae was pro-161 posed by Bechly and Wolf-Schwenninger (2011) to accommodate the peculiar genus Metaraphidia 162 Whalley, 1985. They used the following forewing venation characters in the diagnosis of the family: 163 pterostigma divided by two crossveins; A2 and A3 not fused; no crossvein between CuA and CuP. Our 164 specimen does not possess such wing venation since only one RA veinlet is present in the pterostigma, 165 and more importantly CuA and CuP are connected by a crossvein, while their absence in *Metaraphidia* is considered to be an apomorphic character of the family. In actuality, Metaraphidiidae is likely mere-166 ly an autapomorphic member of the Mesoraphidiidae as there are no convincing synapomorphies for 167 168 mesoraphidiids excluding Metaraphidia. Metaraphidiidae should be seriously reevaluated as either 169 considered a subfamily of Mesoraphidiidae or synonymized outright.

Recently, Lu et al. (2020) revised the diagnosis of the family Baissopteridae and proposed the following characters to differentiate the family: ocelli present; RA veinlet within pterostigma usually present; forewing M with stem usually shorter than doi1; forewing M and CuA diverging at an angle of more than 40°; venation enriched, especially crossvenation, forming at least three radial cells in the forewing and four radial cells in the hind wing, at least two discal cells in both fore- and hind wings, 175 usually four or more discoidal cells in both fore- and hind wings; male gonocoxites IX paired and shell-176 like; male gonapophyses IX reduced. All of these wing venational and body characters are present in 177 the present fossil, with the exception of those genital characters as these cannot be observed owing to 178 the incomplete preservation of the specimen. Therefore, it is clear that the current fossil belongs to the 179 family Baissopteridae.

Following the key to genera of Baissopteridae of Lu et al. (2020), the present fossil keys out to the genus *Electrobaissoptera* Lu et al. 2020 owing to the hind wing with the stem of MA long, slightly sinuate; the head with normal-sized compound eyes and a well-developed vertex; the hind wing cell doi1 with a crossvein directed toward or connecting to the stem of MA; the generally small body size, with forewing 5.5–7.0 mm long; the forewing RP with only two branches; the forewing MA separating from MP obviously distad the initial branching point of MP; and with RP branches almost as long as MA branches in the forewings.

187 The new specimen is relatively small with a forewing about 6.70 mm (compared to most other 188 Baissopteridae with wings longer than 15 mm), thereby excluding affinities with other Burmese amber 189 genera (e.g., Allobaissoptera Lu et al., 2020); the head is narrowly rectangular, but its exact width is 190 unknown (the amber piece cannot be prepared for a direct dorsal view due to the position of syninclu-191 sions); and the vertex is nearly as long as the compound eye (diameter of compound eye about one-192 third head length). All of these characters fit well with those of *Electrobaissoptera burmanica* Lu et al., 193 2020, even if the place occupied by the compound eyes and their lengths differ. However, the present 194 fossil differs from *E. burmanica* in tarsomere III symmetrically bilobed, with a pair of wide lobes, ra-195 ther than slender in E. burmanica (Lu et al., 2020: fig. 11e); forewing long elliptical, ca. 3.25× as long 196 as wide, vs. 3.5× as long as wide; pterostigma ca. 6.0× as long as wide, vs. 5.0× as long as wide; RA 197 simple distad pterostigma vs. forked in E. burmanica; hind wing with cell m1 divided into two parts by a complete ma-mp crossvein, vs. incomplete in E. burmanica (Lu et al., 2020: fig. 12d-e). Notice that 198 199 the two veins are less pigmented than the surrounding veins but clearly present. Although several dif200 ferences are recorded between E. burmanica and the current fossil, these are more trivial features and 201 not suggestive of distinct genera but instead closely related species. The venation of *Electrobaissoptera liui* sp. nov. is not aberrant (and certainly not teratological), and demonstrates that Baissopteridae may 202 203 display several intermediates in wing venation with species lacking or possessing a rudimentary or complete ma-mp crossvein. Nonetheless, given the general rarity of these fossils it is not possible to 204 205 critically evaluate potential ranges of morphological variation within any given fossil species. Based on 206 observed variations in modern snakeflies, albeit of different families from those in the Mesozoic, the 207 observed differences in wing venation between the current fossil and other baissopterids certainly suggests separate species rather than extremes of a range of population variation. 208

209

210 5. Conclusion

211 Amber from northern Myanmar provides once again novel insights into the paleodiversity of the West 212 Burma Block (WWB) during its break-up and migration from South Gondwana to Asia during the Cre-213 taceous. The description of *Electrobaissoptera liui* sp. nov. serves to increase the diversity of baissopterid documented from the WWB during the mid-Cretaceous and helps to clarify some wing 214 215 venation characters originally presumed to be potential aberrations in *E. burmanica*. If the fossil record 216 of the order continues to grow, it seems obvious that a phylogeny integrating the whole of the fossil 217 diversity of Raphidioptera will be needed to clarify the classification and estimate times of divergence 218 among the various clades, and ultimately to propose clear hypotheses concerning snakefly diversifica-219 tion and eventual and decline.

220

221 Acknowledgments

The authors thanks the two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and precisions providedin early draft and review of the paper. We also thank Dr. Eduardo Koutsoukos for managing the article

- 224 during the editing process. This work is part of the Ph.D. project of Corentin Jouault on the « Impact
- 225 des interactions biotiques et paléo-évènements sur la diversification des insectes Neuropterida ».
- 226
- 227 References
- 228 Aspöck, H., 2002. The biology of Raphidioptera: a review of present knowledge. Acta Zoologica Sci-
- entiarum Hungaricae 48, 35–50.
- Aspöck, U., Aspöck, H., 2009. Raphidioptera (snakeflies). In Resh V.H., Cardé, R.T., (Eds.), Encyclopedia of insects (2nd ed.,). Academic Press, pp. 864–866.
- 232 Bechly, G., Wolf-Schwenninger, K., 2011. A new fossil genus and species of snakefly (Raphidioptera:
- 233 Mesoraphidiidae) from Lower Cretaceous Lebanese amber, with a discussion of snakefly phylogeny
- and fossil history. Insect Systematics & Evolution 42, 221–236.
- 235 https://doi.org/10.1163/187631211X568164
- 236 Cruickshank, R.D., Ko, K., 2003. Geology of an amber locality in the Hukawng Valley, northern My-
- anmar. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 21, 441–455. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1367-
- 238 9120(02)00044-5
- 239 Engel, M.S., 2002. The smallest snakefly (Raphidioptera: Mesoraphidiidae): a new species in Creta-
- 240 ceous amber from Myanmar, with a catalog of fossil snakeflies. American Museum Novitates 3363,
- 241 1–22. http://hdl.handle.net/2246/2852
- 242 Engel, M.S., Winterton, S.L., Breitkreuz, L.C.V., 2018. Phylogeny and evolution of Neuropterida:
- 243 Where have wings of lace taken us? Annual Review of Entomology 63, 531–551.
- 244 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-020117-043127
- 245 Grimaldi, D.A., Ross, A.J., 2017. Extraordinary Lagerstätten in amber, with particular reference to the
- 246 Cretaceous of Burma. In: Fraser, N.C., Sues, H.D., (Eds.), Terrestrial Conservation Lagerstätten:
- 247 Windows into the Evolution of Life on Land. Dunedin Academic Press, Edinburgh, pp. 287–342.

248	Jouault, C., Legendre, F., Grandcolas, P., Nel, A., 2021a. Revising dating estimates and the antiquity of
249	eusociality in termites using the fossilized birth-death process. Systematic entomology 46, 592-610.
250	https://doi.org.10.1111/syen.12477
251	Jouault, C., Maréchal, A., Condamine, F.L., Wang, B., Nel, A., Legendre, F., Perrichot, V., 2021b. In-
252	cluding fossils in phylogeny: a glimpse on the evolution of the superfamily Evanioidea (Hymenop-
253	tera: Apocrita) under tip-dating and the fossilized birth-death process. Zoological Journal of the
254	Linnean Society zlab034. https://doi.org.10.1093/zoolinnean/zlab034

255 Kovarik, P.W., Burke, H.R., Agnew, C.W., 1991. Development and behavior of a snakefly, *Raphidia*

bicolor Albarda (Neuroptera: Raphidiidae). Southwestern Entomologist 16, 353–364.

Liu, X.Y, Makarkin, V.N., Yang, Q., Ren., D., 2013. A remarkable new genus of basal snakeflies (In secta: Raphidioptera: Priscaenigmatomorpha) from the Early Cretaceous of China. Cretaceous Re-

259 search 45, 306–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2013.06.001

260 Liu, X.Y., Ren, D., Yang, D., 2014. New transitional fossil snakeflies from China illuminate the early

261 evolution of Raphidioptera. BMC Evolutionary Biology 14, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-

262 2148-14-84

- Liu, X.Y., Lu, X., Zhang, W.W., 2016. New genera and species of the minute snakeflies (Raphidiop-
- tera: Mesoraphidiidae: Nanoraphidiini) from the mid Cretaceous of Myanmar. Zootaxa 4103, 301–
- 265 324. 10.11646/zootaxa.4103.4.1
- Lu, X., Zhang, W., Wang, B., Engel, M.S., Liu, X., 2020. A new and diverse paleofauna of the extinct
- 267 snakefly family Baissopteridae from the mid-Cretaceous of Myanmar (Raphidioptera). Organisms
- 268 Diversity & Evolution 20, 565–595. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-020-00455-y
- 269 Lyu, Y.N., Ren, D., Liu, X.Y., 2017a. Systematic revision of the fossil snakefly family Baissopteridae
- 270 (Insecta: Raphidioptera) from the Lower Cretaceous of China, with description of a new genus and
- three new species. Cretaceous Research 80, 13–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2017.08.004

- 272 Lyu, Y.N., Ren, D., Liu, X.Y., 2017b. Systematic revision of the fossil snakefly family Mesoraphidi-
- idae (Insecta: Raphidioptera) in the middle Jurassic of China, with description of a new genus and
- three new species. Cretaceous Research 80, 13–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2017.08.004
- 275 Martynova, O.M., 1961. Recent and extinct snakeflies (Insecta, Raphidioptera). Paleontologicheskii
- 276 Zhurnal 1961, 73–83.
- Shcherbakov, D.E., 2013. Permian ancestors of Hymenoptera and Raphidioptera. ZooKeys 358, 45–67.
 https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.358.6289
- 279 Shi, G.-H., Grimaldi, D.A., Harlow, G.E., Wang, J., Yang, M.-C., Lei, W.-Y., Li, Q.-L., Li, X.-H.,
- 280 2012. Age constraint on Burmese amber based on U-Pb dating of zircons. Cretaceous Research 37,
- 281 155–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2012.03.014
- 282 Vasilikopoulos, A., Misof, B., Meusemann, K., Lieberz, D., Flouri, T., Beutel, R.G., Niehuis, O., Wap-
- 283 pler, T., Rust, J., Peters, R.S., Donath, A., Podsiadlowski, L., Mayer, C., Bartel, D., Böhm, A., Liu,
- S., Kapli, P., Greve, C., Jepson, J.E., Liu, X., Zhou, X., Aspöck, H., Aspöck, U., 2020. An integra-
- tive phylogenomic approach to elucidate the evolutionary history and divergence times of Neurop-
- terida (Insecta: Holometabola). BMC Ecology and Evolution 20, 64. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-
- 287 020-01631-6
- Wang, W.-L., 1987. Early Mesozoic insects (sic) fossils from western Liaoning. Mesozoic Stratigraphy
 and Palaeontology of Western Liaoning, 202–222.
- 290 Whalley, P.E.S., 1985. The systematics and palaeogeography of the Lower Jurassic insects of Dorset,
- England. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Geology 39, 107–189.
- 292 Yu, T.-T., Kelly, R., Mu, L., Ross, A., Kennedy, J., Broly, P., Xiai, F.-Y., Zhang, H.-C., Wang, B.,
- 293 Dilcher, D., 2019. An ammonite trapped in Burmese amber. Proceedings of the National Academy
- of Sciences of the United States of America 116, 11345–11350.
- 295 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1821292116.
- 296

- 297 Figure 1. Electrobaissoptera liui sp. nov., holotype IGR.BU-028. A: Habitus in left lateral view; B: De-
- tailed view of head; C: Detailed view of forewing. Scale bars equal 0.5 mm.
- 299 Figure 2. Detailed view of *Electrobaissoptera liui* sp. nov., holotype IGR.BU-028. A: Head with black
- 300 arrows pointing ocelli; B: Mid-legs with black arrow pointing the pre-apical ramus. Scale bars equal
- 301 0.25 mm
- 302 Figure 3. Line drawing of wing venation with cell and vein names labelled. A: Forewing; B: Hind wing.
- 303 Scale bars equal 0.5 mm.







