

Second case of ophiophagy by a crotaline snake, Bothrops atrox (Squamata: Viperidae), on an aniliid, Anilius scytale (Squamata: Aniliidae), in French Guiana

Starace Fausto, Ferrieux T., Ivan Ineich

▶ To cite this version:

Starace Fausto, Ferrieux T., Ivan Ineich. Second case of ophiophagy by a crotaline snake, Bothrops atrox (Squamata: Viperidae), on an aniliid, Anilius scytale (Squamata: Aniliidae), in French Guiana. Herpetology Notes, 2021, 14, pp.1367-1370. mnhn-03963767

HAL Id: mnhn-03963767 https://mnhn.hal.science/mnhn-03963767

Submitted on 30 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Second case of ophiophagy by a crotaline snake, *Bothrops atrox* (Squamata: Viperidae), on an aniliid, *Anilius scytale* (Squamata: Aniliidae), in French Guiana

Fausto Starace¹, Thibaut Ferrieux², and Ivan Ineich^{3,*}

Bothrops atrox (Linnaeus, 1758) is a predominantly nocturnal species, yet shows marked plasticity when it comes to its activity times, the prey it hunts, and the great diversity of the environments it frequents. In French Guiana, it is sometimes active in the morning, as evidenced by a case of predation reported (Ineich and Starace, 2015), but also active in the late afternoon, especially during the rainy season. During this period, the diurnal observations of activity are more frequent until 16:30 h, when the luminosity weakens (F.S., pers. obs.). Cunha and Nascimento (1978) and Martins and Oliveira (1998) also mention occasional diurnal activity.

A stomach contents study of 109 Bothrops atrox from the Brazilian Amazon identified prey in the gastrointestinal tracts 35% of the dissected snakes (Bisneto and Kaefer, 2019). Among these, 73% ingested exclusively an ectothermic prey item (centipede, anuran amphibian, lizard or snake), 24% exclusively an endothermic prey item (mammal) and a single individual (3%) presented both types of prey. At least two types of prey were represented in 30% of the dissected snakes (Bisneto and Kaefer, 2019). The snakes that ingested endothermic prey were significantly larger in size and an ontogenic deviation of the diet was clearly demonstrated in that study. Most likely, due to their morphology, larger individuals can prey on larger, and therefore, more nutritious prey. The frequencies of

each of the most abundant prey types are distributed as follows in decreasing order: anurans (38%), lizards (19.5%), mammals (19.5%), centipedes (15.2%), and snakes (4.3%). Insect fragments have been observed in some digestive tracts but they were considered secondarily ingested prey items (i.e., remnants of prey consumed by another, true prey item; Bisneto and Kaefer, 2019). A similar, older study, also carried out in Brazil on 107 preserved individuals, showed that 7% of B. atrox prev items were snakes (Martins and Gordo, 1993). The diet of this crotaline snake is clearly generalised and also includes cannibalism (Cunha and Nascimento, 1993; Rodrigues et al., 2016). All these results were corroborated by a recent analysis carried out by Monteiro et al. (2020), which showed the diet of newborn B. atrox mainly included arthropods (45.5%), anurans (27.3%), and snakes (9%), with a notable absence of mammalian prey. While juveniles showed the presence of many more lizards (44%), mammals (20%), amphibians (10.7%), and arthropods (16%), more rarely birds (2.7%) and snakes (6.6%). Finally, in adults, the diet consisted largely of mammals (56.3%) and lizards (28.1%), supplemented by amphibians (9.4%) and arthropods (6.2%), but lacking birds and snakes.

Ophiophagy is known in several species of the genus *Bothrops* Wagler, 1824 (see Campbell and Lamar, 1989, 2004; Mendoza and Lucero, 2011; García et al., 2018; Gabrysova et al., 2020; Oliveira-Jr et al., 2020). However, snake predation is unusual and shows a clear ontogenic association—as mentioned above. The snakes ingested by *Bothrops atrox* belong to at least five families: Aniliidae Stejneger, 1907; Colubridae Oppel, 1811; Dipsadidae Bonaparte, 1838; Elapidae Boie, 1827; and Leptotyphlopidae Oppel, 1811. The snake species that have been identified as prey for this pitviper are listed below (Table 1) in alphabetical order of families and genera in each family, combined with each prey specifying the country concerned and the source of the observation.

BP 127 – 97393 Saint Laurent du Maroni Cedex, Guyane française.

² 49 rue des coumarous, 97310 Kourou, Guyane française.

³ Institut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB) Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Sorbonne Université, École Pratique des Hautes Études, Université des Antilles, CNRS, CP 30, 57 rue Cuvier 75005 Paris, France.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: ivan.ineich@mnhn.fr

^{© 2021} by Herpetology Notes. Open Access by CC BY-NC-ND 4.0.

Fausto Starace et al.

Table 1. Snake species reported as prey of *Bothrops atrox*. We indicate family, prey identification, geographic location, number of occurrences and bibliographic reference(s) for each prey species.

Family	Species	Location	Number of cases	References
Aniliidae	Anilius scytale (Linnaeus, 1758)	French Guiana	2	Ineich and Starace, 2015; this study
Colubridae	Drymarchon corais (Boie, 1827)	Brazil	1	Pelegrini et al., 2019
Colubridae	Mastigodryas boddaerti (Sentzen, 1796)	Brazil	1	Da Frota, 2003
Colubridae	Tantilla melanocephala (Linnaeus, 1758)	Brazil	3	Martins and Gordo, 1993; Maschio et al., 2010; Bisneto and Kaefer, 2019
Dipsadidae	Atractus torquatus A.M.C. Duméril, Bibron & A.H.A. Duméril, 1854	Brazil	1	Egler et al., 1996; Oliveria and Martins, 1996; Campbell and Lamar, 2004
Dipsadidae	Erythrolamprus typhlus (Linnaeus, 1758) [as Leimadophis typhlus or Liophis typhlus]	Unknown	1	Credited to Greene (1997) in Da Frota (2003) but there is no such mention in Greene (1997) and the source for that report remains a mystery to us
Dipsadidae	Imantodes cenchria (Linnaeus, 1758)	Brazil	1	Bisneto and Kaefer, 2019
Dipsadidae	Leptodeira annulata (Linnaeus, 1758)	Brazil	1	Puorto et al. in Martins and Gordo, 1993
Dipsadidae	Ninia atrata (Hallowell, 1845)	Trinidad	2	Rodriguez, 1976 cited in Boos, 2001; Murphy, 2007 (B. cf. atrox)
Dipsadidae	Oxyrhopus melanogenys (Tschudi, 1845)	Brazil	1	Gavira and Loebmann, 2011
Dipsadidae	Siphlophis compressus (Daudin, 1803)	French Guiana	1	Denis Faure, December 2016, pers. comm.
Elapidae	Micrurus circinalis (A.M.C. Duméril Bibron & A.H.A. Duméril, 1854)	Trinidad	1	Boos, 2001
Leptotyphlopidae	« Epictia sp. » or ? « Epictia tenella Klauber, 1939 »	Brazil	1 (male)	Bernarde and Abe, 2010; Batista et al., 2020; Monteiro et al., 2020
?	« small snakes »	Guyana and Venezuela	?	Beebe, 1946
?	Unidentified	Brazil	?	Martins and Gordo, 1993
?	« serpientes »	Venezuela	?	Natera-Mumaw et al., 2015








Figure 2. Section of *Anilius scytale* emerging from the bowels of the crushed *B. atrox* in Figure 1 above. Photo by Thibaut Ferrieux.

Despite the wide distribution and relative abundance of *B. atrox*, observations of ophiophagy remain rare. Each case is therefore valuable and should be reported. Here, we report a second case of ingestion of a Neotropical pipe snake, *Anilius scytale* (Linnaeus, 1758), by *B. atrox*. The sighting of a dead on road (DOR) snake was made on 26 March 2021 around 13:30 h on the Guyanese Space Center area road at the Karouabo guard post (5.22745°N, -52.78619°W; WGS 84). The surrounding vegetation, composed of shrubby savannas, is crossed by the Karouabo stream. The animal was likely freshly killed because one of us (T.F.) had not observed the dead snake during a first pass on this same road earlier around 11:00 h. The snake was an adult *B. atrox* (total length = ca. 60 cm; midbody diameter = 4 cm; Fig. 1).

While moving the carcass off of the road, a section of another snake, *Anilius scytale*, measuring about 10 cm in length, came out of the viscera of the crotaline venter (Fig. 2). Although this segment was small and damaged, it is easily identifiable as *A. scytale*. This sighting occurred on a stretch of road where roadkill snakes are quite common. No specimens were preserved but photographic vouchers are provided herein (Figs. 1–2).

Anilius scytale is a fossorial snake with diminutive eyes that prefers lowland wetlands with the presence of watercourses (Cunha and Nascimento, 1978; Martins and Oliveira, 1998; F.S., pers. obs.). It is thought to be nocturnal and can be regularly seen active at night on the trails and main roads of French Guiana. Martins and Oliveira (1998) also mention that A. scytale is nocturnal, but can become diurnal under certain circumstances (e.g., flooding of underground galleries, search for food, etc.).

Indeed, another observation provides further evidence for diurnal behaviour in *A. scytale*. In French Guiana, 6 km east of Saint-Laurent-du Maroni in a primary forest called "Forêt des Malgaches", on 29 December 2016 at 12:30 h, a surface-active, gravid female was observed moving on the forest floor in wet lowlands during sunny weather (Starace Emma, pers. com.).

The two observations of ophiophagy on *A. scytale* in French Guiana show that some purportedly nocturnal snakes may regularly display diurnal activity. The first case of ophiophagy mentioned shows that, like *B. atrox*, *A. scytale* is also sometimes active during the day (Ineich and Starace, 2015), and in the second case reported here, the *B. atrox* likely subjugated and ingested an *A. scytale* in the morning (dry, clear conditions), which further supports diurnal activity in these two snake species. Moreover, these two species—predator and

prey—which do not (*a priori*) share the same ecological niches (e.g., terrestrial vs. fossorial, respectively), may come into contact somewhat frequently. The additional case of ophiophagy by *B. atrox* on *A. scytale* suggests that these species likely interact somewhat regularly in French Guiana. Altogether, the observed surface-level movements of *A. scytale* as well as the generalist ecological requirements of *B. atrox* probably explain these two unexpected prey-predator observations reported for French Guiana.

Acknowledgements. We thank an anonymous reviewer for his constructive inputs during the development of this manuscript.

References

Batista, S.F., Facure, K.G., Marques, O.A.V. (2020): The blind snake *Trilepida koppesi* (Amaral, 1955) as prey of armadillo *Euphractus sexcinctus* (Linnaeus, 1758), with a review of Scolecophidia predators. Herpetology Notes 13: 49–55.

Beebe, W. (1946): Field notes on the snakes of Kartabo. British Guiana, and Caripito, Venezuela. Zoologica 31(1): 11–52.

Bernarde, P.S., Abe, A.S. (2010): Hábitos alimentares de serpentes em Espigão do Oeste, Rondônia, Brasil. Biota Neotropica 10(1): 167–173.

Bisneto, P.F., Kaefer, I.L. (2019): Reproductive and feeding biology of the common lancehead *Bothrops atrox* (Serpentes, Viperidae) from central and southwestern Brazilian Amazonia. *Acta Amazonica* 49: 105–113.

Boos, H.E.A. (2001): The snakes of Trinidad & Tobago. College Station, Texas A & M University Press, The W.L. Moody, Jr., Natural History Series number 31. i-xvi + 270 p., 8 pls.

Campbell, J.A., Lamar, W.W. (1989): The venomous reptiles of Latin America. Ithaca and London, United States of America and United Kingdom, Cornell University Press and Comstock Publishing Associates. i-xii + 425 p.

Campbell, J.A., Lamar, W.W. (2004): The venomous reptiles of the Western Hemisphere, 2 vols. Ithaca, New York, United States of America and London, United Kingdom, Cornell University Press and Comstock Publishing Associates. Volume I: i-xviii + 1–476 + 28 pp., 71 plates; Volume II: I + xiv + 477–870 + 28 pp., 64 plates.

Cunha, O.R. (da), Nascimento, F.P. (do) (1978): Ofidios da Amazônia. X – Ofidios da regiao leste do Para. Publicações Avulsas do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi 31: 1–218.

Cunha, O.R. (da), Nascimento, F.P. (do) (1993): Offdios da Amazônia. As cobras da região Leste do Pará. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi, Sér. Zoologica 9(1): 1–191.

Da Frota, J.G. (2003): Natural History Notes – Bothrops atrox (Common Lancehead). Diet. Herpetological Review 34(4): 371.

Egler, S.G., Oliveira, M.E., Martins, M. (1996): Natural History Notes – *Bothrops atrox* (Common Lancehead). Foraging behavior and ophiophagy. Herpetological Review 27(1): 22–23. 1370 Fausto Starace et al.

Gabrysova, B., González de Rueda, J.A., Barrio-Amorós, C.L. (2020): Natural History Notes – *Bothrops asper* (Terciopelo). Diet/Ophiophagy. Herpetological Review 51(4): 859–860.

- García, R.J.A., Curi, L.M., Calamante, C.C., Céspedez, J.A. (2018): Natural History Notes – *Bothrops diporus* (Southern Pitviper/Chaco Lancehead). Diet/Ophiophagy. Herpetological Review 49(1): 124.
- Gavira, R.S., Loebmann, D. (2011): Natural History Notes – Bothrops sp. (gr. atrox) (jararaca/ lancehead). Diet. Herpetological Review 42: 436.
- Ineich, I., Starace, F. (2015): Observation d'un cas présumé d'ophiophagie par un crotale, *Bothrops atrox* (Linnaeus, 1758), sur un Aniliidae, *Anilius scytale* (Linnaeus, 1758), en Guyane française. Bulletin de la Société herpétologique de France 155: 51–54
- Martins, M., Gordo, M. (1993): Natural History Notes Bothrops atrox (Common Lancehead). Diet. Herpetological Review 24(4): 151–152.
- Martins, M., Oliveira, M.E. (1998): Natural history of snakes in the forests of the Manaus region, Central Amazonia, Brazil. Herpetological Natural History 6(2): 1–89.
- Maschio, G.F., Prudente, A.L.C., Rodrigues, F.S., Hoogmoed, M.S. (2010): Food habits of *Anilius scytale* (Serpentes: Aniliidae) in the Brazilian Amazonia. Zoologia (Curitiba, Impresso) 27: 184–190.
- Mendoza, R.J.S., Lucero, M.F. (2011): A prey item not previously recorded for *Bothrops asper*: a case of ophiophagy involving two sympatric pit viper species. Herpetotropicos 5: 107–109.
- Monteiro, W.M., Contreras-Bernal, J.C., Bisneto, P.F., Sachett, J., Mendonça da Silva, I., Lacerda, M., Guimaraes da Costa, A., Val, F., Brasileiro, L., Sartim, M.A., Silva-de-Oliveira, S., Bernarde, P.S., Kaefer, I.L., Grazziotin, F.G., Wen, F.H., Moura-da-Silva, A.M. (2020): Bothrops atrox, the most important snake involved in human envenomings in the amazon: How venomics contributes to the knowledge of snake biology and clinical toxinology. Toxicon 6: 100037.

- Murphy, J.C. (1997): Amphibians and Reptiles of Trinidad and Tobago. Malabar, Florida, Krieger Publ. Comp. i-xiii + 245 p.
- Natera-Mumaw, M., Esqueda-González, L.F., Castelaín-Fernández, M. (2015): Atlas Serpientes de Venezuela: Una Visión Actual de su Diversidad. Dimacofi NegociosAvanzados S.A., Santiago, Chile. i-xii + 433 p.
- Oliveira-Jr, J.G.D., Céron, K., Mângia, S., Da Silva, I.C.O., Sugai, J.L.M.M., Santana, D.J. (2020): Predation on Amerotyphlops brongersmianus (Squamata, Typhlopidae) by Bothrops moojeni (Squamata, Viperidae) in West Brazil. Caldasia 42: 150–152.
- Oliveira, M.E., Martins, M. (1996): *Bothrops atrox* (Common Lancehead). Foraging Behaviour and Ophiophagy. Herpetological Review 27: 22–23.
- Pelegrini, S.J.S., Venâncio, N.M., Kuniy, A.A. (2019): Note on an event of double predation: *Leptodeira annulata* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) being predated by *Drymarchon corais* Boie, 1827 (Serpentes: Colubridae), being predated in turn by *Bothrops atrox* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Serpentes: Viperidae). Herpetology Notes 12: 1193–1195.
- Rodrigues, A. (1976): Letter to Mailbag. Trinidad Naturalist 1(6): 8. [not seen; cited in Boos, 2001]
- Rodrigues, G.M., Maschio, G.F., Prudente, A.L. (2016): Snake assemblages of Marajó Island, Pará state, Brazil. Zoologia 33: 1–13.