

Four years of DNA barcoding: current advances and prospects.

Lise Frézal, Raphael Leblois

► To cite this version:

Lise Frézal, Raphael Leblois. Four years of DNA barcoding: current advances and prospects. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 2008, 8 (5), pp.727-36. 10.1016/j.meegid.2008.05.005. mnhn-00392451

HAL Id: mnhn-00392451 https://mnhn.hal.science/mnhn-00392451

Submitted on 8 Jun 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	4 years of DNA barcoding : current advances and prospects
2	
3	
4	Authors : Lise Frézal ¹ , Raphael Leblois ² *
5	1 Laboratoire de Biologie intégrative des populations, Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Paris,
6	France
7	2 Unité Origine, Structure et Evolution de la Biodiversité UMR 5202 CNRS/MNHN, Muséum
8	National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France
9	* Corresponding author (Phone: +33 (0)1 40 79 33 49; Fax: +33 (0)1 40 79 33 42; Email:
10	leblois@mnhn.fr)
11	
12	
13	

14 Abstract

L. Frézal and R. Leblois – 4 years of DNA barcoding: current advances and prospects Infection, Genetics and Evolution

- 17
- 18

19 Research using cytochrome c oxidase barcoding techniques on zoological specimens was 20 initiated by Hebert et al. (2003). By March 2004, the Consortium for the Barcode of Life started to 21 promote the use of a standardized DNA barcoding approach, consisting of identifying a specimen as 22 belonging to a certain animal species based on a single universal marker: the DNA barcode 23 sequence. Over the last four years, this approach has become increasingly popular and advances as well as limitations have clearly emerged as increasing amounts of organisms have been studied. Our 24 25 purpose is to briefly expose DNA Barcode of Life principles, pros and cons, relevance and 26 universality. The initially proposed Barcode of life framework has greatly evolved, giving rise to a 27 flexible description of DNA barcoding and a larger range of applications.

- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33

 ³⁴ Index Key words: DNA barcode, cytochrome c oxidase, COI, DNA taxonomy, species
 35 identification, international species databank, BOLD

36 1- Introduction

37

38 Species identification and classification have traditionally been the specialist domain of 39 taxonomists, providing a nomenclatural backbone and a key prerequisite for numerous biological 40 studies. Indeed, today's society has to resolve many crucial biological issues, among which are the 41 need to maintain biodiversity, to ensure bio-security, to protect species and to avoid pandemics. The 42 achievement of such goals and the success of subsequent action programs require efficient global 43 networks and rely on our capacity to identify any described species. As Dayrat (2005) clearly 44 expressed, 'delineating species boundaries correctly – and also identifying species – are crucial to 45 the discovery of life's diversity because it determines whether different individual organisms are 46 members of the same entity or not'. The identification of species depends on the knowledge held by 47 taxonomists whose work cannot cover all taxon identification requested by non specialists. To deal with these difficulties, the 'DNA Barcode of Life' project aims to develop a standardized, rapid and 48 49 inexpensive species identification method accessible to non-specialists (i.e. non-taxonomists).

50 The idea of a standardized molecular identification system emerged progressively during the 51 1990s with the development of PCR-based approaches for species identification. Molecular 52 identification has largely been applied to bacterial studies, microbial biodiversity surveys (e.g. 53 Woese, 1996; Zhou et al., 1997) and routine pathogenic strains diagnoses (e.g. Maiden et al., 1998, 54 Sugita et al., 1998; Wirth et al., 2006) due to a need for culture-independent identification systems. 55 PCR-based methods have also been frequently used in fields related to taxonomy, food and forensic 56 molecular identification (Teletchea et al., 2005) and for identification of eukaryotic pathogens and 57 vectors (e.g. Walton et al., 1999). Several universal systems for molecular-based identification have 58 been used for lower taxa (e.g. nematodes, Floyd et al., 2002) but were not successfully implemented 59 for broader scopes. The Barcode of Life project soon after became that attempt, aiming to create a 60 universal system for a eukaryotic species inventory based on a standard molecular approach. It was initiated in 2003 by researchers at the University of Guelph in Ontario, Canada 61 (http://www.barcoding.si.edu) and promoted in 2004 by the international initiative 'Consortium for 62 63 the Barcode of Life' (CBOL). By then, it had more than 150 member organizations from 45 64 countries including natural history museums, zoos, herbaria, botanical gardens, university departments as well as private companies and governmental organizations. The DNA barcode 65 66 project does not have the ambition to build the tree of life nor to perform molecular taxonomy 67 (Erbach and Holdrege, 2005; Gregory, 2005), but rather to produce a simple diagnostic tool based on strong taxonomic knowledge that is collated in the DNA barcode reference library (Schindel and 68 69 Miller, 2005). The DNA Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD, http://www.boldsystems.org) has

3

progressively been developed since 2004 and was officially established in 2007 (Ratnasingham and
Hebert, 2007). This data system enables the acquisition, storage, analysis and publication of DNA
barcode records.

73 In the present paper we briefly review the current state of DNA barcode advances, trends and 74 pitfalls. The main methods of the DNA barcoding approach are given. The feasibility of a universal 75 barcoding approach and interest in the DNA barcoding approach for microbial studies are discussed.

- 76 77
- 78

79 2- The DNA Barcoding approach: definitions and objectives

80

81 2-1 DNA barcode definition and primary objectives

82

83 The DNA barcode project was initially conceived as a standard system for fast and accurate 84 identification of animal species. Its scope is now that of all eukaryotic species (Hebert et al., 2003; 85 Miller, 2007). The DNA barcode itself consists of a 648 bp region 58-705 from the 5'-end of the 86 cytochrome c oxidase 1 (COI) gene using the mouse mitochondrial genome as a reference. It is 87 based on the postulate that every species will most likely have a unique DNA barcode (indeed there are 4⁶⁵⁰ possible ATGC-combinations compared to an estimated 10 million species remaining to be 88 89 discovered, Wilson, 2004) and that genetic variation between species exceeds variation within 90 species (Hebert et al., 2003; Hebert, 2004a). The two main ambitions of DNA barcoding are to (i) 91 assign unknown specimens to species and (ii) enhance the discovery of new species and facilitate 92 identification, particularly in cryptic, microscopic and other organisms with complex or inaccessible 93 morphology (Hebert et al., 2003).

94

95 2-2 When is the DNA barcode useful?

96

97 Access to a public reference database of taxa allowing identification of a wide range of species 98 will be beneficial whenever accurate taxonomic identifications are required. The DNA barcode can 99 in this way be of great support to numerous scientific domains (e.g. ecology, biomedicine, 100 epidemiology, evolutionary biology, biogeography and conservation biology) and in bio-industry. 101 The cost and time-effectiveness of DNA barcoding enables automated species identification, which 102 is particularly useful in large sampling campaigns (e.g. Craig Venter's Global Ocean sampling team, 103 Rusch et al., 2007). In this way, DNA barcoding could also improve large surveys aiming at 104 unknown species detection and identification of pathogenic species with medical, ecological and agronomical significance (Armstrong and Ball, 2005; Ball and Armstrong, 2006). Besides, it is
important to be able to recognize, detect and trace dispersal of patented organisms in agrobiotechnology, either to certify the source organism (e.g. truffles, Rastogi et al., 2007) or secure
intellectual property rights for bioresources (Gressel and Ehrlich, 2002; Kress and Erickson, 2007;
Taberlet et al., 2007).

110 One obvious advantage of DNA barcoding comes from the rapid acquisition of molecular data. 111 As a contrast, morphological data gathering can be time consuming, in some cases totally confusing 112 and in others, almost impossible (e.g. Dinoflagellate taxonomy, Litaker et al., 2007; diatomea, Evans 113 et al., 2007; earthworms, Huang et al., 2007). Furthermore, in three important situations, relevant 114 species identification must necessarily be molecular-based. First, in determining the taxonomic 115 identity of damaged organisms or fragments of (e.g. goods, food and stomach extracts). The DNA 116 barcoding tool is thus potentially useful in the food industry, diet analyses, forensic sciences and in 117 preventing illegal trade and poaching of endangered species (e.g. fisheries, trees, bushmeat). Second, 118 molecular-based identification is necessary when there are no obvious means to match adults with 119 immature specimens (e.g. fish larvae, Pegg et al., 2006; amphibians, Randrianiaina et al., 2007; 120 coleoptera, Caterino and Tishechkin, 2006; Ahrens et al., 2007; fungal sexual stage, Shenoy et al., 121 2007). The third case is when morphological traits do not clearly discriminate species (e.g. red algal 122 species, Saunders, 2005; fungal species, Jaklitsch et al., 2006; and field-collected mosquito 123 specimens, Kumar et al., 2007), especially when size precludes visual identification (i.e. 'unseeable 124 animals', Blaxter et al., 2005; Webb et al., 2006) or if species have polymorphic life cycles and/or 125 exhibit pronounced phenotypic plasticity (e.g. Lamilariales, Lane et al., 2007).

- 126
- 127

128 2-3 DNA Barcoding as a driving force in biological sciences

129

130 More than being a species identification tool for non specialists, DNA barcoding is also of 131 interest to specialists. To achieve the CBOL objectives, species have to be taxonomically described 132 before their deposit in BOLD, which leads researchers to resolve analytical, technical and 133 fundamental issues beforehand. It also brings together (and complements) taxonomy, molecular 134 phylogenetics and population genetics (Hajibabaei et al., 2007b). According to Rubinoff and 135 Holland (2005), DNA barcoding can be regarded as a 'tremendous tool' to accelerate species 136 discovery and initiate new species descriptions (DeSalle et al., 2005; DeSalle, 2006). Moreover, it 137 re-opens the debate on species concepts (Fitzhugh, 2006; Rubinoff et al., 2006b; Balakrishnan, 2007; 138 Miller, 2007; Vogler and Monaghan, 2007). Unlike other well-known sequence libraries (e.g.

NCBI), BOLD is an interactive interface where deposited sequences can be revised and taxonomically reassigned. The compiling of sequences, from one or few common loci improves synergic studies at large geographic scales and across numerous genera (Hajibabaei et al., 2007b).
Such information on the global distribution of species, their genetic diversity and structure will enhance the speed and effectiveness of local population studies.

- 144 145
- 146 **3- Advances in Barcoding**
- 147
- 148 *3-1 State of the art*
- 149

150 By March 2008, the total available DNA Barcode records were at 363,584 sequences (50,039 species), of which 136,338 sequences (13,761 species) satisfied DNA barcoding criteria (i.e. 151 152 minimum sequence length of 500 bp and more than 3 individuals per species). At this date, more 153 than 65% of all barcoded specimens had been collected in the last five years. The majority of the 154 specimens (over 98%) are from the animal kingdom with more than 65% representing Insecta. The 155 International Barcode of Life project (iBOL) is now under development by the new Canadian 156 International Consortium Initiative (ICI). Researchers from 25 countries will be involved in this 157 large-scale and collaborative program, which aims at building a comprehensive DNA barcode 158 registry for eukaryotic life. The program's starting date is tentatively set at January 2009 and within 159 the first 5-year period there are plans to acquire DNA barcode records for 5 million specimens 160 representing 500,000 species (out of more than an estimated 10 million species to be discovered).

161 So far, the COI gene has proved to be suitable for the identification of a large range of animal 162 taxa, including gastropods (Remigio and Hebert, 2003), springtails (Hogg and Hebert, 2004), 163 butterflies (Hebert et al., 2004a; Hajibabaei et al., 2006a), birds (Hebert et al., 2004b; Kerr et al., 164 2007), mayflies (Ball et al., 2005), spiders (Greenstone et al., 2005), fish (Ward et al., 2005), ants 165 (Smith et al., 2005), Crustacea (Costa et al., 2007) and recently, diatomea and Protista (Evans et al., 166 2007). Hajibabaei et al. (2006a) showed that 97.9% of 521 described species of Lepidoptera possess 167 distinct DNA barcodes and furthermore that the few instances of sequence overlap of different 168 species involve very similar ones.

The efficiency of DNA barcoding has been reported in the detection and description of new cryptic species (Hansfield and Hansfield, 2006; Smith et al., 2006b; Anker et al., 2007; Bucklin et al., 2007; Gomez et al., 2007; Pfenninger et al., 2007; Tavares and Baker, 2008) and of sibling species (Hogg and Hebert, 2004; Amaral et al., 2007; Van Velzen et al., 2007). This identification tool can clearly give support to improve classifications and to critically examine the precision of morphological traits commonly used in taxonomy. Indeed, several studies have already illustrated the advances provided by the iterative processes between morphological- and DNA barcode- based studies in taxonomy (Hebert et al., 2004a; Hebert and Gregory, 2005b; Page et al., 2005; Carlini et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2006a; Smith et al., 2007; Van Velzen et al., 2007).

- 178
- 179

180 *3-2 New insights into ecology and species biology*

181

182 New insights into ecology and species biology have already emerged from the DNA barcoding 183 project. For example, the identification of organisms contained in stomach extracts allows the 184 elucidation of wild animal diets, especially when behavioural studies are not feasible (e.g. Krill diets, 185 Passmore et al., 2006; affirmation of polyphagy of the moth Homona mermerodes, Hulcr et al., 186 2007; Xenoturbella bocki diet, Bourlat et al., 2008). DNA barcoding could also become an efficient 187 tool to clarify host-parasite and symbiotic relationships (Besansky et al., 2003) and in turn give new 188 insights on host spectra, as well as on the geographical distributions of species (host, parasites and/or 189 endangered species). Moreover, the tool is suitable to elucidate the symbiont and parasite 190 transmission pathways from one host generation to the next as illustrated in the interaction of beetles 191 (Lecythidaceae) with their endosymbiotic yeasts (Candida spp. clades and other undescribed yeast 192 species) (Berkov et al., 2007). Molecular dating of symbiotic relationships can also be deduced using 193 barcoding tools (Anker et al., 2007).

194

195 *3-3 Technical advances in barcoding*

196

197 The purpose of the DNA barcoding project is to rapidly assemble a precise and representative 198 reference library. Thus it is based on conventional and inexpensive protocols for DNA extraction, 199 amplification and sequencing. With time, the reference library will become increasingly useful, 200 enabling the rapid identification of low taxonomic level taxa with specific short-DNA sequences (i.e. 201 mini-barcode 100bp, Hajibabaei et al., 2006c; 300 bp, Min and Hickey, 2007.). It has been shown 202 that species identity can be validated or inferred from a small number of polymorphic positions 203 within the COI-barcode ('microcoding' of 25bp, Summerbell et al., 2005; DNA arrays-based 204 identification, Hajibabaei et al., 2007; SNP-based discrimination, Xiao et al., 2007). Other new 205 molecular technologies used in bioengineering (e.g. silicon-based microarrays, nylon membrane-206 based macroarrays, etc.) are becoming cheaper and may be integrated into the 'second step of DNA 207 barcoding' (Summerbell et al., 2005). Furthermore, new sequencing techniques such as pyrosequencing (454, Solexa, SOLID) enable rapid and representative analyses of mixed samples
(e.g. stomach contents, food, blood or water columns. Largely used in the emerging field of
metagenomics, this advance could be promising for future DNA barcoding initiatives.

211 DNA barcoding could also be used as a technical enhancer. Indeed, one condition for data 212 submission to BOLD is the conservation of entire morphological reference for species (voucher). 213 Indeed, new techniques of non-destructive DNA extraction from recently collected specimens have 214 already been developed (Pook and McEwing, 2005; Hunter et al., 2007; Rowley et al., 2007) and 215 additional improvements in specimen conservation may arise. One major drawback of molecular-216 based studies as for example DNA barcoding is our incapacity to extract DNA from specimens 217 conserved in formalin. Indeed, museum collections of animals represent the major part of voucher 218 specimens from which species have been described and most of these are conserved in formalin. The 219 ultimate challenge is to find the appropriate ways to extract DNA from formalin-conserved 220 specimens and harvest DNA barcodes from them.

- 221
- 222

223 4- What can be learnt from the limitations of DNA barcoding?

4-1 The under-described part of biodiversity

224

Despite the promises of the global barcoding initiative, some crucial pitfalls must be mentioned. We believe that these limitations should be clearly identified and resolved in the library construction phase, otherwise the BOLD database will not ever become universally relevant.

- 228
- 229

230 231

232 The sampling shortage across taxa can sometimes lead to 'barcoding gaps' (Meyer and Paulay, 233 2005), which highlights the care that must be accorded to sampling quality during the database 234 construction phase (Wiemer and Fiedler, 2007). The individuals chosen to represent each taxon in 235 the reference database should cover the major part of the existing diversity. Indeed, in the 236 interrogation of BOLD, identification difficulties arise when the unknown specimens come from a 237 currently under-described part of biodiversity (Rubinoff, 2006; Rubinoff et al., 2006). Meyer and 238 Paulay (2005) estimated the error rates for specimen assignment in well-characterized phylogenies 239 and in partially known groups. They showed that the DNA barcode exclusively promises robust 240 specimen assignment in clades for which the taxonomy is well understood and the representative

specimens are thoroughly sampled. Their conclusions are totally concordant with the example of the *Muntjac* described in DeSalle et al. (2005).

243

244 *4-2 Inherent risks due to mitochondrial inheritance*

245

246 The diversity of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is strongly linked to the female genetic 247 structure due to maternal inheritance. The use of mitochondrial loci can thus lead to overestimate 248 sample divergence and render conclusions on species status unclear. For instance, in Homona 249 mermerodes (Lepidoptera) mtDNA polymorphism is structured according to the host plants on 250 which females feed, and the two clades produced by phylogenetic analyses are artefacts of female 251 nutritional choice (Hulcr et al., 2007). Heteroplasmy and dual uniparental mitochondrial inheritance 252 (e.g. Mussels, Terranova et al., 2007) are further misleading processes for mitochondrion-based 253 phylogenetic studies.

254 The mitochondrial inheritance within species can also be confounded by symbiont infection. 255 Firstly, indirect selection on mitochondrial DNA arises from linkage disequilibria with 256 endosymbionts, either obligate beneficial micro-organisms, parasitically- or maternally- inherited 257 symbionts (Funk et al., 2000; Whitworth et al., 2007). Such symbionts are very common in 258 arthropods (e.g. Wolbachia infects at least 20% of Insecta and 50% of spiders, Hurst and Jiggins, 259 2005; Cardinium infects around 7% of arthopods, Weeks et al., 2007) and are probably widespread 260 in many other Metazoa. Secondly, interspecific hybridization and endosymbiont infections can 261 generate transfer of mitochondrial genes outside an individual's evolutionary group (Dasmahapatra 262 and Mallet, 2006). Examples are the cross-generic mitochondrial DNA introgression observed 263 between Acreae (Lepidoptera) and Drosophila (Diptera) coming from the vertically transmitted 264 Wolbachia (Hurst and Jiggins, 2005), or the cross-kingdom horizontal mtgene transfer detected between sponges and their putative fungal symbionts (Rot et al., 2006). Finally, one host species can 265 266 bear different symbionts (e.g. european populations of Adalia bearing three symbionts, Spiroplasma, 267 Rickettsia and Wolbachia, Hurst et al., 1999), leading to intraspecific (i.e. inter-population) variation 268 in mtDNA sequences.

In all these cases, nuclear loci are required to resolve phylogenetic relationships and may serve as a validating tool during the database construction stage. Besides, special care must be accorded to the compilation of reference sequences (i.e. DNA barcode), especially for species with already known disturbed mitochondrial inheritance. The presence of such potentially misleading effects should be explicitly indicated in the BOLD. However, unknown endosymbionts or exclusive causes of mtDNA inheritance disturbance could also be revealed during the DNA barcodingdatabase filing.

- 276
- 277
- 278 4-3 Nuclear copies of COI (NUMTs)
- 279

280 Nuclear mitochondrial DNAs (NUMTs) are nuclear copies of mitochondrial DNA sequences 281 that have been translocated into the nuclear genome (Williams and Knowlton, 2001). In eukaryotes, 282 the number and the size of NUMTs are variable, ranging from none or few in Anopheles, 283 Caenorhabditis and Plasmodium, to more than 500 in humans, rice and Arabidopsis (Richly and Leister, 2004). As reported by Ann Bucklin (Oral comm., the 3rd international Cons. Gen. 284 285 symposium, New York, 2007) using DNA barcoding in investigations on marine zooplankton, and 286 by Lorenz et al. (2005) performing primate DNA barcoding, nuclear COI copies can sometimes 287 greatly complicate the straightforward collection of mitochondrial COI sequences. Disturbance due 288 to NUMTs must be seriously considered, in both DNA barcode library construction and further 289 specimen identification. Owing to their particular codon structure, non-synonymous mutations, 290 premature stop codons and insertion-deletions (Strugnell and Lindgren, 2007), NUMTs can be 291 recognized in the sequence and in the amino acid alignments. In the sequence acquisition stage, 292 NUMTs can be detected by the sequence checking process proposed in BOLD (i.e. rejection of 293 inconsistent amino acid alignment), and in such cases, their occurrence should be referenced in 294 BOLD. Only recently integrated NUMTs that are difficult to detect (Thalmann et al., 2004), could be 295 ignored. Although it is more difficult, it is nevertheless possible to get the true mtCOI sequence of 296 voucher specimens with the reverse transcription (Collura et al., 1996). In the diagnostic stage, there 297 may be cases where NUMT occurrence is unknown, which highlights the care that should be taken 298 in DNA barcode alignments.

- 299
- 300

301 *4-4 Rate of evolution in COI*

302

The rate of genome evolution (mitochondrial or nuclear) is not equal for all living species. Notably, molluscs have a higher evolutionary rate than other bilateral metazoans (Strugnell and Lindgren, 2007). In contrast, diploblast sponges and cnidarians have an evolutionary rate 10-20 times slower than in their bilaterian counterparts, a consequence of which is the lack of COIsequence variation that prevents distinction below the family level (Erpenbeck et al., 2006). The rate of evolution can even differ at the ordinal level, as shown between six dermapteran (Insect) species
(Wirth et al., 1999). In the same way, the level of variation in mitochondrial sequences in the plant
kingdom excludes species identification based on COI sequence polymorphism (Kress et al., 2005).

311

More generally, the lack of resolving power of COI-sequence reported for some taxa has led the CBOL to envisage the transition from the primary single-gene method (i.e. BARCODE) to a multiregion barcoding system, when it is justified (i.e. in cases where COI is not species specific, or for taxa with low mitochondrial evolutionary rates) taxon-specific reference regions (i.e. nuclear plus/or organelle genes), also called non-COI barcode (Bakker, Second International Barcode of Life Conference, TAIPEI, September 2007).

- 318
- 319

320 *4-5 The intra-specific geographical structure should be taken into account*

321

322 Geographical structure, if ignored, can blur and distort species delineation. Actually, high rates 323 of intraspecific divergence can derive from geographically isolated populations (Hebert et al., 2003), 324 and thus, must be considered in the setting up of the DNA barcode reference database. This point 325 stresses a key challenge for the DNA barcoding initiative, from both the fundamental and analytical 326 points of view. What is the boundary between a population and a species? Does it exist? To solve 327 this issue, wide-ranging intra-specific sampling should be integrated in the reference database, and 328 one must consider species boundaries not as a definitive but as a revisable concept. The relevance of 329 the reference DNA barcode database depends on the exhaustiveness of intra-taxon sampling.

330

To prevent misleading results, the current data format for submission to BOLD should be complemented with new fields related to the limitations mentioned above (i.e. NUMT occurrence, known endosymbiont, available insight on molecular clock, genetic structure and geographical distribution). Besides the biological limitations, DNA barcoding raises analytical and statistical issues.

- 336
- 337

5- DNA-Sequence Analysis, a double trend: pure assignment vs delimitation of species

339

340 5-1 Query sequence assignment

341

342 The main and unambiguous objective of DNA barcoding analysis is to assign one query 343 sequence to a set of referenced tagged-specimen sequences extracted from BOLD. The method 344 currently used in BOLD combines similarity methods with distance tree reconstruction in the 345 following way: (i) First, the query sequence is aligned to the global alignment through a Hidden 346 Markov Model (HMM) profile of the COI protein (Eddy, 1998), followed by a linear search of the 347 reference library. The 100 best hits are selected as a pre-set of "closely related tagged-specimens"; 348 (ii) Second, a Neighbor-Joining tree is reconstructed on this preset plus the query sequence to assess 349 the relationship between the query sequence and its neighboring referenced sequences (Kelly et al., 350 2007). The query sequence is then assigned to the species name of its nearest-neighboring referenced 351 sequence, whatever the distance between the two sequences. This method is direct and rapid, but its 352 main shortcomings are high prevalence of sampling-dependent accuracy, high rates of false-positive 353 assignments (Koski and Golding, 2001) and the fact that there is no other way to infer the reliability 354 of the query assignment than computing percentages of similarity or genetic distances, two measures 355 that are known to be irrelevant for taxonomic relationship (Ferguson, 2002). The loss of character 356 information is also inherent in distance methods, as computing distances erase all character-based 357 information (DeSalle, 2006). Moreover, as both similarity and distance methods strongly depend on 358 the disparity between intra- and inter-specific variations, incomplete taxonomic sampling (i.e. 359 barcoding gaps) will artificially increase the accuracy of such methods.

360 Various alternative methods have been proposed to analyse DNA barcode data amongst which 361 we can distinguish four main categories of approaches: (i) similarity approaches, based solely on the 362 similarity between the total DNA barcode sequences or small parts of them (e.g. oligonucleotide 363 motifs, Dasgupta et al., 2005; Little et al., 2007); (ii) classical phylogenetic approaches, using either 364 genetic distances or maximum likelihood / Bayesian algorithms and assuming different mutational 365 models (e.g. Neighbor-Joining, phyML, MrBayes, Elias et al., 2007); (iii) multiple-character based 366 analysis (DeSalle et al., 2005) (vi) pure statistical approaches based on classification algorithms 367 without any biological models or assumptions (CAOS, Sarkar et al., 2002 a, b); and (v) genealogical 368 methods based on the coalescent theory using demo-genetic models and maximum likelihood / 369 Bayesian algorithms (Matz and Nielsen, 2005; Nielsen and Matz, 2006; Abdo and Golding, 2007). 370 The question here is whether it is worthwhile to adopt a biological, populational and/or phylogenetic 371 rationale for DNA barcode sequence analyses or, whether pure statistical approaches are more 372 efficient to assign a query sequence to a species name. Note that character-based methods (either 373 character-based phylogenetics, i.e. not distance-based, or statistical classification) are consistent with 374 the phylogenetic species concept (Goldstein and DeSalle, 2000), whereas distance-based methods 375 are not (Lipscomb et al., 2003). CAOS of Sarkar et al. (2002 a, b) is an example of character-based analysis, in which the nucleotide sequence is considered as a chain of characters. In the same way,
DeSalle et al. (2005) proposed the combination morphological and molecular characters, which has
the advantage of bridging the gap between the classical taxonomy and 'molecular-taxonomy' and the
DNA barcoding approach.

380 At present, global comparisons between all these approaches are clearly missing. However, 381 few studies have already compared some of these algorithms (Elias et al., 2007, Ross et al., 2008). 382 For example, Austerlitz et al., (Second International Barcode of Life Conference TAIPEI, September 383 2007) compared phylogenetic tree reconstruction with various supervised classification methods 384 (CART and Random Forest, Support Vector Machines and Kernel methods, Breiman et al., 1984) on 385 both simulated and real data sets. Their main conclusions are: (i) maximum likelihood phylogenetic 386 (PhyML, Guindon and Gascuel, 2003) approaches always seem to be more accurate than distance-387 based (Neighbor-Joining) phylogenetic inferences; (ii) computation times are much higher for 388 maximum likelihood phylogenetic reconstruction than for statistical classification; and (iii) the 389 accuracy of all the methods strongly depends on sample size and global variability of the taxa. 390 Supervised classification methods outperform phylogenetic analyses only when the reference sample 391 per species is large (n > 10).

392

393 Rigorous assignment relevancy depends on our capacity to estimate the probability of a false-394 assignment event. False species assignments can be due to three types of errors (Nielsen and Matz, 395 2006): (i) the true species may not be represented in the database; (ii) the random coalescence of 396 lineages in populations and species may not necessarily lead the query sequence to be the most 397 closely related to the true species sequence; (iii) the random process at which mutations arise on 398 lineages may cause the sequence representing another species to be more similar to the query. 399 Population genetics theory, and more specifically coalescent theory, can help to assess the 400 probability of the occurrence of the last two events. Recently, model-based decision theory 401 framework based on the coalescence theory (Matz and Nielsen, 2005; Nielsen and Matz 2006; Abdo 402 and Golding, 2007) has been established, and should lead to greater accuracy in query sequence 403 assignment with an estimation of the degree of confidence with which this assignment can be made. 404 However, the major drawbacks of such model-based decision tools are high computation times and 405 the requirement of large data sets (e.g. more than 10 sequences per species) for enough genetic 406 information to perform accurate analyses. Moreover, the mitochondrial neutrality has recently being 407 put into question (Bazin et al., 2006), which may invalidate inferences using neutral coalescent 408 processes.

409 To conclude on the query assignment method, it would be advisable to adopt a sequential 410 investigation. Firstly, to search the complete database with similarity methods thus reducing the total 411 data set to the genus or family of the query sequence. Then, to use statistical classification and/or 412 phylogenetic tools to more precisely assign the query sequence to a given species. If still no obvious 413 assignment emerges, it should then be made using population genetic methods based on coalescence. 414 However, even if the assignment with classification or phylogenetic methods seems unambiguous, 415 coalescent-based methods running on the closest neighbours of the query sequence should give an 416 idea of the degree of uncertainty associated with an identification.

- 417
- 418
- 419 *5-2 Delimitation of species*
- 420

The second and more controversial objective of DNA barcode analyses is to define clusters of individuals and consider them as species, in other words, to do molecular taxonomy on unidentified taxa. Unlike the approaches mentioned above, clustering is an unsupervised learning problem that involves identifying homogeneous groups in a data set. Beside all the well-justified discussions between taxonomists about the molecular delimitation of species, such a clustering approach is much more complicated than pure assignment to a pre-identified taxonomic group. Three main approaches have been put forward so far.

428 Hebert et al. (2004b) first proposed the use of a divergence-threshold to delimit species. The 429 underlying idea was that intra-species divergence is lower than inter-species divergence. The 430 standard divergence threshold value advised was of ten times the mean intraspecific variation ('10-431 fold rule') with the reciprocal monophyly. Despite the efficiency of the threshold approach reported 432 for fishes (Ward et al., 2005), crustaceans (Lefebure et al., 2006), North American birds (Hebert et 433 al., 2004b), tropical lepidopterans (Hajibabaei et al., 2006a) and cave-dwelling spiders (Paquin and 434 Hedin, 2004), the use of thresholds in species delineation has been strongly discouraged. Indeed, the 435 divergence-threshold methods lack strong biological support and undoubtedly could not become a 436 universal criterion suited to animal species delineation (Meyer and Paulay, 2005; Hickerson et al., 437 2006; Wiemer and Fiedler, 2007). By their literature survey of mitochondrial DNA studies on low 438 taxonomic-level animal phylogeny, Funk and Omland (2003) detected species-level paraphyly or 439 polyphyly in 23% of 2,319 assayed species, demonstrating that NJ-tree analysis will fail to assign 440 query sequences in a significant proportion of cases (Ross et al., 2008). The question is thus to 441 clearly characterize the proportion of non-monophyletic species and the relationship between intraand inter-specific variability in various taxa to globally assess the relevance of such thresholdapproaches.

444 The second approach to delimitate species has been developed by Pons et al. (2006) using a 445 mixed model combining a coalescent population model with a Yule model of speciation. Their 446 approach is based on the differences in branching rates at the level of species and populations. Such 447 a model allows them to infer a time of branching regime change, from the coalescent rate to the 448 speciation rate, and to define species as being the clusters for which all individuals are branched 449 inside the coalescent time frame. Even if their approach is currently oversimplified because they 450 consider a unique rate of coalescence (i.e. all population sizes are the same) and a unique shift from 451 population to species processes, it is a promising step that combines the principles of population 452 genetics and those of speciation processes.

453 The third methodology, which also uses principles of population genetics, is the extension of 454 the coalescent-based models of Matz and Nielsen (2005), Nielsen and Matz (2006) and, Abdo and 455 Golding (2007). This approach has not yet been fully developed but is suggested in the three above-456 mentioned papers. The underlying idea is that maximum likelihood or Bayesian inference, using 457 coalescent models, should help to assess divergence times and/or presence or absence of gene flow 458 between the clusters considered. Estimates of divergence times and gene flow can then be used to 459 infer species status of clusters, based on the biological definition of species. As for the assignment 460 methods, the main drawback of such coalescent-based methods are computation times and large 461 intra-specific sampling requirements.

We emphasize that, whatever approach is used, every taxonomic decision using DNA Barcodedata should be validated by other independent lines of evidence.

464 465

466 **6- What level of universality can the DNA barcode reach?**

467

468 *6-1 The choice of the genome region(s)*

469

The main difficulty of DNA barcoding is to find the ideal gene that discriminates any species in the animal kingdom. Hebert et al. (2003, 2004a,b) argue in favor of the mitochondrial 5' COI region (Folmer et al., 1994), a choice justified by its great resolving-power for birds, lepidopteran and dipteran species discrimination. Ideally, a single pair of universal primers (e.g. Folmer primers, Folmer et al., 1994) would amplify the DNA barcode locus in any animal species. The development of taxon-specific primers and their combinations are however sometimes necessary to obtain greater intra-generic accuracy (e.g. coral reef, Neigel et al., 2007), as illustrated by the primer combinations
and cocktails required to obtain DNA barcodes from fish species (Ward et al., 2005; Ivanova et al.,
2007), or the primer sets needed to distinguish between primate genera (Lorenz, 2005). The COI
amplification does not always ensure the success of the specimen identification. Indeed, the COIbased identification sometimes fails to distinguish closely related animal species, underlining the
requirement of nuclear regions (e.g. *Cytb* and R*ho*d to identify all teleost fish species, Sevilla et al.,
2007): the idea of a multi-locus DNA barcoding approach is progressively emerging.

483 The extension of DNA barcoding to other kingdoms is also progressing. The efficiency of 484 COI-based barcoding has been documented for few groups of fungi (e.g. Penicillium sp., Seifert et 485 al., 2007), macroalgae (Rhodophyta, Saunders, 2005) and two ciliophoran protists genera 486 (Paramecium and Tetrahymenas, Barth et al., 2006; Lynn and Strüder-Kypke, 2006; Chantangsi et 487 al., 2007), suggesting that the DNA barcode standardization may be harder to reach than expected. It 488 is now commonly accepted that the universality of the initial COI-based CBOL project is unlikely. 489 Indeed, considering mitochondria solely would not solve problems of differential evolutionary rates 490 among close genera, of inheritance discrepancy, of mtDNA introgression processes and of the 491 intron-size variations that prevent COI-sequence alignment (e.g. fungi, plants). Besides, methods of 492 sequence assignment based on a single-locus will often lack accuracy (Elias et al., 2007). The 493 ineluctable future trend for species identification through DNA barcoding is to develop a multi-locus 494 system, including COI-region or/and independent markers (Rubinoff and Holland, 2005; 495 Dasmahapatra and Mallet 2006; Kress and Erickson, 2007; Smith et al., 2007; Sevilla et al., 2007). 496 Additional molecular markers have already been proposed, among which the nuclear subunit 497 ribosomal RNA genes are promising candidates because of their great abundance in the genome and 498 their relatively conserved flanking regions. Moreover, the use of rRNA allows efficient species 499 distinction (e.g. for amphibians, Vences et al., 2004, 2005; for truffles, El Karkouri et al., 2007), and 500 can sometimes provide classifications into molecular taxonomic units, MOTU (e.g. for nematodes, 501 Floyd et al., 2002; Blaxter et al., 2005).

502 In higher plants, the mitochondrial genome evolves much more slowly than in animals. The 503 COI-region is thus inappropriate for plant species distinction (Rubinoff et al., 2006). The CBOL 504 plant working group (PWG) agrees that plant barcoding will be multi-locus, with one 'anchor' (i.e. 505 universal across the plant kingdom) and 'identifiers' to distinguish closely related species (Bakker, 506 Second International Barcode of Life Conference TAIPEI, September 2007). Several combinations 507 of DNA regions have been recently proposed (Kress et al., 2005; Chase et al., 2006; Kress and 508 Erickson, 2007; Pennisi, 2007; Lee et al., Second International Barcode of Life Conference TAIPEI, 509 September 2007). At present, there is still no consensus on which candidate markers are the best 510 plant DNA barcoding region (Pennisi, 2007). The future combination will certainly contain noncoding intergenic spacers (e.g. trnH-psbA, Kress et al., 2005; Chase et al., 2006; Kress and Erickson, 511 512 2007) and plastidial coding sequences (e.g. *mat*K, Chase et al., 2007). Recently, Lahaye et al. (2008) 513 working on a large representative sample (>1600 plants specimens) strongly converged with Chase 514 et al.'s (2007) conclusion, and advocates the matK locus as the best universal 'anchor' for DNA 515 barcoding of plant taxa. However, they also agree with the need for extra loci (i.e. 'identifiers') to 516 resolve lower taxon identification. In addition, Taberlet et al. (2007) focused on the feasibility of 517 barcoding plants from highly degraded DNA that is of interest for ancient DNA studies (e.g. 518 permafrost samples) and other applied fields (e.g. processed food, customs, medicinal plants). They 519 promoted the chloroplast trnL (UAA) intron or a shorter fragment of this intron (the P6 loop, 10-143) 520 bp), which, despite the relatively low resolution, can be amplified with highly conserved primers.

521

522 If the prior universality of a single locus and a single primer set remains utopian, the use of a 523 few common loci is still a great advance for future diversity assessments within higher taxa. Steady 524 common features of the DNA barcoding approach will remain, but will certainly evolve in kingdom-525 or even lower taxon- specific technical approaches.

526

527 *6-2 The challenge: barcoding microscopic biodiversity*

528

529 One of the greatest challenges for the Barcode of Life project is to account for the diversity of 530 unicellular life (i.e. archea, bacteria, protists, and unicellular fungi). As a matter of fact, with an 531 evolutionary history dating back to 3.5 billion years, microscopic life (<1mm) represents the largest 532 part of biodiversity. Besides, microscopic species are the causal agents of numerous diseases and are 533 keys to the functioning of trophic networks (Chantangsi et al., 2007). In oceans, microbial life is 534 responsible for 98% of the primary production and the mediation all the biogeochemical cycles 535 (Sogin et al., 2006). One of the striking characteristics of the microbiosphere is the unstable 536 population size over short periods of time, one population can be dominant at a specific time or 537 location, but rare, and thus difficult to survey at another time or location. The low-abundance 538 populations (e.g. rare biosphere) that account for most of the phylogenetic diversity are masked by 539 the dominant populations, leading to an underestimation of the diversity of microbial life (Sogin et 540 al., 2006).

541 The microbiodiversity DNA barcoding has yet been poorly studied. The emerging community 542 genomics (DeLong et al., 2006) and the metagenomics approaches promise great insights on 543 prokaryote biodiversity and molecular evolution (DeLong, 2004; Tyson et al., 2004; Venter et al., 544 2004; Tringe et al., 2005; Leclerc et al., 2007). But, for microscopic eukaryotes, the routine use of 545 the metagenomics approach is not technically conceivable and too expensive today, highlighting the 546 interest of DNA barcoding on pooled samples (i.e. where multiple species are present or 547 communities) to assess eukaryotic microbiodiversity (Johnson and Slatkin, 2008).

548 Exploring the microscopic eukaryotic life diversity can be achieved by the COI-based barcode 549 (Blaxter et al., 2005, Chantangsi et al., 2007; Evans et al., 2007). But as for the macroscopic species, 550 a specific and multi-locus barcoding approach may be required. The 5'-end region (600 bp) of the 551 small ribosomal subunit has already been reported to assign isolates to specific subtypes of the 552 human parasite *Blastocyctis hominis* (Scicluna et al., 2006) and successfully reached types or 553 species in the deep seas (Sogin et al., 2006).

554

555 6-3 Universality of the Barcode Of Life Data system (BOLD)

556 The universality of the Barcode of Life mainly resides in the synergic and standard approach 557 for data acquisition and their compilation into BOLD, which is the central connection of the CBOL 558 initiative. The current format for data submission to BOLD is composed of five fields for voucher 559 specimen characterization: (i) the specimen identifier (the catalogue and collection codes, the 560 institution responsible for providing the specimen samples); (ii) the taxonomic status; (iii) the 561 specimen characteristics (sex, life stage, reproduction); (iv) the collection data (collector, collection 562 date and location with GPS coordinates); (v) the DNA barcode sequence (gene name and location, 563 trace file, alignment details, primers used to generate the amplicon). Next to this, pictures of 564 vouchers and the trace of their DNA Barcode must be submitted. All the guidelines are specified on 565 the BOLD website (http://www.barcodinglife.com/docs/boldtutorial.html).

Recently the international sequences depository (NCBI) have accepted to put in place a BARCODE keyword into their search facilities for entries that conform to the minimal CBOL requirements (i.e. traces file, collection location). The BOLD barcode Submission tool associates the Barcode submissions' with a further submission to NCBI using the 'My NCBI' user name. Note that for data that satisfies the BARCODE-keyword conditions, the NCBI taxonomy browser gives the direct link to the BOLD taxonomy browser. A keyword related to the concept of 'non-COI barcode' may be soon envisaged.

573 BOLD will provide an increasing amount of DNA barcode records (either COI- or non-COI 574 barcodes) to clearly identify unknown specimens, which will enable accurate query assignments and 575 will facilitate comparison between data obtained in geographically dispersed institutions. Recently, 576 an increasing amount of initiatives for global data recording have been proposed to manage clinical 577 and molecular information about infectious diseases (epiPATH, Amadoz and Gonzales-candelas, 578 2007), but also to focus on either human pathogenic bacteria (e.g. pathoMIPer, Thiyagarajan et al., 579 2006; pyloriBASE, Ahmed et al. 2007; VectorBase, Lawson et al., 2007; DengueInfo, Schreiber et 580 al., 2007) or on plant pathogens (e.g. PhiBASE, Winnenburg et al., 2006). BOLD could serve as the 581 universal starting point for species identification, which would convey users to refer to specialized 582 databases (e.g. pathogenic strains, disease vector species and endangered species). The CBOL has 583 already initiated the new International Network for the Barcoding of Invasive and Pest Species 584 (INBIPS; www.barcoding.si.edu/INBIPS.htm) that will help to coordinate the collection of barcode 585 data on pest species around the world (Ball and Armstrong, 2006).

- 586
- 587
- 588

589 **7-Conclusion**

590

591 After four years, the animal DNA barcoding approach has become less controversial, its 592 relevancy is now supported by numerous successes and by the increasing amount of DNA barcoding 593 projects, among which the barcoding of 500,000 species planned by the iBOL. However, clear 594 limitations arise from the incomplete coverage of the existing diversity, the inherent characteristics 595 of the mitochondrial DNA (evolutionary rate, inheritance, introns, neutrality) and the single-locus 596 initial strategy. With its enlargement to all eukaryote taxa, the Barcode of life project has also 597 evolved to a more flexible framework. The approach reveals to be more complex than the system 598 projected by the CBOL initially. The multi-locus barcoding approach is now commonly accepted, 599 particularly to discriminate between low level taxa and to increase the power of the sequence 600 assignments.

The BOLD data system is central to the DNA barcoding approach. The specificities of BOLD are (i) to assemble standard information on voucher specimens using common description fields (DNA tag, specimen taxonomy, specimens details, collection information, voucher pictures), and, (ii) its dynamic status that allows taxonomic revisions and reassignment of the deposited sequences.

The final point concerning the Barcode of Life project is that, beyond the construction of a standard approach based on the existing taxonomic knowledge, it has enhanced communication between different scientific communities, including taxonomists, phylogeneticists and population geneticists.

609

610 Acknowledgments

- 611 We thank Kevin Bleakley, Pascale Chesselet, Olivier David, Mark Stevens, Michel Veuille and
- 612 Thierry Wirth for constructive comments and usefull discussions on the manuscript. L.F. was
- 613 financially supported by a ATER grant from the Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes.
- 614
- 615
- 616 **References**
- Abdo, Z., Golding, G.B. 2007. A step toward barcoding life: A model-based, decision-theoretic
 method to assign genes to preexisting species groups. Syst. Biol. 56, 44-56.
- Ahmed, N., Majeed, A.A., Ahmed, I., Hussain, M.A., Alvi, A., Devi, S.M., Rizwan, M., Ranjan, A.,
 Sechi, L.A., Megraud, F. 2007. genoBASE *pylori*: A genotype search tool and database of the
 human gastric pathogen H*elicobacter pylori*. Infect. Genet. Evol. 7, 463-468.
- Ahrens, D., Monaghan, M.T., Vogler, A.P. 2007. DNA-based taxonomy for associating adults and
 larvae in multi-species assemblages of chafers (Coleoptera : *Scarabaeidae*). Mol. Phylogenet.
 Evol. 44, 436-449.
- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., Lipman, D.J., 1997.
 Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs.
 Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 3389-3402.
- Amaral, A.R., Sequeira, M., Coelho, M.M. 2007. A first approach to the usefulness of cytochrome c
 oxidase I barcodes in the identification of closely related delphinid cetacean species. Mar.
 Freshwater Res. 58, 505-510.
- Amadoz, A., Gonzales-candelas, F. 2007. epiPATH: an information system for the storage and
 management of molecular epidemiology data from infectious pathogens. BMC Infect. Dis. 7,
 32. (doi:10.1186/1471-2334-7-32)
- Anker, A., Hurt, C., Knowlton, N. 2007. Revision of the *Alpheus nuttingi* (Schmitt) species complex
 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Alpheidae), with description of a new species from the tropical eastern
 Pacific. Zootaxa 1577, 41-60.
- Armstrong, K.F., Ball, S.L. 2005. DNA barcodes for biosecurity: invasive species identification.
 Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 360, 1813-1823.
- Balakrishnan, R. 2007. Species concepts, species boundaries and species identification: a view from
 the tropics. Syst. Biol. 54(4):689-693.
- Ball, S.L., Hebert, P.D.N., Burian, S.K., Webb, J.M. 2005. Biological identifications of mayflies
 (*Ephemeroptera*) using DNA barcodes. J. North Am. Benthol. Soc. 24, 508-524.
- Ball, S.L., Armstrong, K.F. 2006. DNA barcodes for insect pest identification: a test case with
 tussock moths (Lepidoptera: *Lymantriidae*). Can. J. For. Res. 36: 337-350.
- Barth, D., Krenek, S., Fokin, S.I., Berendonk, T.U. 2006. Intraspecific genetic variation in
 Paramecium revealed by mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase 1 sequences. J. Eukaryot.
 Microbiol., 53: 20-25.
- Bazin, E., Glémin, S., Galtier, N. 2006. Population size does mitochondrial genetic in animals.
 Science 312, 570-572.
- Berkov, A., Feinstein, J., Small, J., Nkamany, M. 2007. Yeasts isolated from neotropical woodboring beetles in SE Peru. Biotropica 39, 530-538.

- Besansky, N.J., Severson, D.W., Ferdig, M.T. 2003. DNA barcoding of parasites and invertebrate
 disease vectors: what you don't know can hurt you. Trends Parasitol. 19, 545-546.
- Blaxter, M., Mann, J., Chapman, T., Thomas, F., Whitton, C., Floyd, R., Abebe, E. 2005. Defining
 operational taxonomic units using DNA barcode data. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B 360,
 1935-1943.
- Blaxter, M. 2006. Will DNA barcoding advance efforts to conserve biodiversity more efficiently
 than traditional taxonomic methods? Front. Ecol. Environ. 5, 269-270.
- Bourlat, S.J., Nakano, H., Akerman, M., Telford, M.J., Thorndyke M.C., and Obst M. 2008. Feeding
 ecology of Xenoturbella bocki (phylum *Xenoturbellida*) revealed by genetic barcoding Mol.
 Ecol. Resources 8, 18-22
- Breiman, L., Friedman, J.H., Olshen, R.A., Stone, C.J., 1984. Classification and Regression Trees.
 Wadsworth, Belmont.
- Bucklin, A., Wiebe, P.H., Smolenack, S.B., Copley, N.J., Beaudet, J.G., Bonner, K.G., FarberLorda, J., Pierson, J.J. 2007. DNA barcodes for species identification of euphausiids
 (*Euphausiacea, Crustacea*). J. Plankton Res. 29, 483-493.
- 667 Carlini, D.B., Kunkle, L.K., Vecchione, M. 2006. A molecular systematic evaluation of the squid
 668 genus Illex (Cephalopoda: *Ommastrephidae*) in the North Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean
 669 Sea. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 41, 496-502.
- Caterino, M.S., Tishechkin, A.K. 2006. DNA identification and morphological description of the
 first confirmed larvae of *Hetaeriinae* (Coleoptera : *Histeridae*). Syst. Entomol. 31, 405-418.
- Chantangsi, C., Lynn, D.H., Brandl, M.T., Cole, J.C., Hetrick, N., Ikonomi, P. 2007. Barcoding
 ciliates: a comprehensive study of 75 isolates of the genus *Tetrahymena*. Int. J. Syst. Evol.
 Microbiol. 57, 2412-2425.
- 675 Chase, M.W., Salamin, N., Wilkinson, M., Dunwell, J.M., Kesanakurthi, R.P., Haidar, N.,
 676 Savolainen, V. 2005. Land plants and DNA barcodes: short-term and long-term goals. Phil.
 677 Trans. R. Soc. B 360, 1889-1895
- Chase, M.W., Cowan, R.S., Hollingsworth, P.M., van den Berg, C., Madrinan, S., Petersen, G.,
 Seberg, O., Jorgsensen, T., Cameron, K.M., Carine, M., Pedersen, N., Hedderson, T.A.J.,
 Conrad, F., Salazar, G.A., Richardson, J.E., Hollingsworth, M.L., Barraclough, T.G., Kelly, L.,
 Wilkinson, M. 2007. A proposal for a standardised protocol to barcode all land plants. Taxon
 56, 295-299.
- Collura, R.V., Auerbach, M.R., Stewart, C-B. 1996. A quick, direct method that can differentiate
 expressed mitochondrial genes from their nuclear pseudogenes. Curr. Biol. 6 (10), 1337-1339.
- Costa, F.O., deWaard, J.R., Boutillier, J., Ratnasingham, S., Dooh, R.T., Hajibabaei, M., Hebert,
 P.D.N. 2007. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes: the case of the Crustacea. Can.
 J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 64, 272-295.
- Dasmahapatra, K.K., Mallet, J. 2006. DNA barcodes: recent successes and future prospects.
 Heredity 97, 254-255.
- DasGupa, B., Konwar, K.M., Mandoiu, I.I., Shvartsman, A.A. 2005. DNA-BAR: distinguisher
 selection for DNA barcoding. Bioinformatics 21, 3424-3426.
- Dayrat, B. 2005. Towards integrative taxonomy. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 85, 407-415.
- DeLong, E.F. 2004. Microbial population genomics and ecology: the road ahead Environmental
 Microbiology 6 (9), 875-878. (doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00668.x)

- DeLong, E.F., Preston, C.M., Mincer, T., Rich, V., Hallam, S.J., Frigaard, N.-U., Martinez, A.,
 Sullivan, M.B., Edwards, R., Brito, B.R., Chisholm, S.W., Karl, D.M. 2006. Community
 genomics among stratified microbial assemblages in the ocean's interior. Science 311, 5760:
 496-503.
- DeSalle, R., Egan, M.G., Siddall, M. 2005. The unholy trinity: taxonomy, species delimitation and
 DNA barcoding. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 360, 1905-1916.
- DeSalle, R. 2006. Species discovery versus species identification in DNA barcoding efforts:
 Response to Rubinoff. Cons. Biol. 20, 1545-1547.
- Ebach, M.C., Holdrege, C. 2005. DNA barcoding is no substitute for taxonomy. Nature 434, 697 697.
- 705 Eddy, S.R. 1998. Profile hidden Markov models. Bioinformatics 14, 755-763.
- Elias, M., Hill, R.I., Willmott, K.R., Dasmahapatra, K.K., Andrew V.Z. Brower, A.V.Z., Mallet, J.,
 Chris D., Jiggins, C.D. 2007 Limited performance of DNA barcoding in a diverse community
 of tropical butterflies. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B 274, 2881-2889.
- El Karkouri, K., Murat, C., Zampieri, E., 2007. Identification of internal transcribed Spacer sequence
 motifs in truffles: a first step toward their DNA barcoding. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73 (16),
 5320-5330.
- Frpenbeck, D., Hooper, J.N.A., Worheide, G. 2006. CO1 phylogenies in diploblasts and the
 'Barcoding of Life' are we sequencing a suboptimal partition? Mol. Ecol. Notes 6, 550-553.
- Evans, K.M., Wortley, A.H., Mann, D.G. 2007. An assessment of potential diatom "barcode" genes
 (cox1, rbcL, 18S and ITS rDNA) and their effectiveness in determining relationships in
 Sellaphora (Bacillariophyta). Protist 158, 349-364.
- Ferguson, J.W.H. 2002. On the use of genetic divergence for identifying species. Biol. J. Linn. Soc.
 718 75, C509-C516.
- Fitzhugh, K. 2006. DNA Barcoding: An Instance of Technology-driven Science? BioScience 56(6),
 462-463.
- Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., Vrijenhoek, R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of
 mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol. Mar.
 Biol. Biotech. 3, 294-299.
- Floyd, R., Abebe, E., Papert, A., Blaxter, M. 2002. Molecular barcodes for soil nematode
 identification. Mol. Ecol. 11, 839-850.
- Funk, D.J., Helbling, L., Wernegreen, J.J., Moran, N.A. 2000. Intraspecific phylogenetic congruence
 among multiple symbiont genomes. Proc. R. Soc. B. 267, 2517-2521.
- Funk, DJ., Omland, K.E. 2003. Species-level paraphyly and polyphyly: frequency, causes, and
 consequences, with insights from animal mitochondrial DNA. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 34,
 397-423
- Guindon, S., Gascuel, O. 2003 A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies
 by maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. 52, 696-704.
- Goldstein, P.Z., DeSalle, R. 2000. Phylogenetic species, nested hierarchies, and character fixation.
 Cladistics 16, 364-384.
- Gomez, A., Wright, P.J., Lunt, D.H., Cancino, J.M., Carvalho, G.R., Hughes, R.N. 2007. Mating
 trials validate the use of DNA barcoding to reveal cryptic speciation of a marine bryozoan
 taxon. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B. 274, 199-207.

- Greenstone, M.H., Rowley, D.L., Heimbach, U., Lundgren, J.G., Pfannenstiel, R.S., Rehner, S.A.
 2005. Barcoding generalist predators by polymerase chain reaction: carabids and spiders. Mol.
 Ecol. 14, 3247-3266.
- 741 Gregory, T.R., 2005. DNA barcoding does not compete with taxonomy. Nature 434, 1067-1067.
- Gressel, J., Ehrlich, G. 2002. Universal inheritable barcodes for identifying organisms. Trends Plant
 Sci. 7 (12), 542-544.
- Hajibabaei, M., Janzen, D.H., Burns, J.M., Hallwachs, W., Hebert, P.D.N. 2006a. DNA barcodes
 distinguish species of tropical Lepidoptera. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 968-971.
- Hajibabaei, M., Singer, G.A.C., Hickey, D.A. 2006b. Benchmarking DNA barcodes: an assessment
 using available primate sequences. Genome 49, 851-854.
- Hajibabaei, M., Smith, M.A., Janzen, D.H., Rodriguez, J.J., Whitfield, J.B., Hebert, P.D.N. 2006c. A
 minimalist barcode can identify a specimen whose DNA is degraded. Mol. Ecol. Notes 6, 959964.
- Hajibabaei, M., Singer, G.A.C., Clare, E.L., Hebert, P.D.N. 2007a. Design and applicability of DNA
 arrays and DNA barcodes in biodiversity monitoring. BMC Biol. 5 (24). (doi:10.1186/17417007-5-24)
- Hajibabaei, M., Singer, G.A.C., Hebert, P.D.N., Hickey, D.A. 2007b. DNA barcoding: how it
 complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population genetics. Trends Genet. 23,
 167-172.
- Handfield, D., Handfield, L. 2006. A new species of *Plusia (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae)* from North
 America. Can. Entomol. 138, 853-859.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Ratnasingham, S., deWaard, J.R. 2003. Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase
 subunit 1 divergences among closely related species. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B. 270, S96-S99.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Penton, E.H., Burns, J.M., Janzen, D.H., Hallwachs, W. 2004a. Ten species in one:
 DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astraptes fulgerator*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101, 14812-14817.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Stoeckle, M.Y., Zemlak, T.S., Francis, C.M. 2004b. Identification of birds through
 DNA barcodes. Plos Biol. 2, 1657-1663.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Barrett, R.D.H. 2005a. Reply to the comment by L. Prendini on "Identifying spiders
 through DNA barcodes". Can. J. Zool. 83, 505-506.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Gregory, T.R. 2005b. The promise of DNA barcoding for taxonomy. Syst. Biol. 54,
 852-859.
- Hickerson, M.J., Meyer, C.P., Moritz, C. 2006. DNA barcoding will often fail to discover new animal species over broad parameter space. Syst. Biol. 55, 729-739.
- Hogg, I.D., Hebert, P.D.N. 2004. Biological identification of springtails (*Hexapoda : Collembola*)
 from the Canadian Arctic, using mitochondrial DNA barcodes. Can. J. Zool. 82, 749-754.
- Huang, J., Qin Xu, Q., Sun, Z.J., Tang, G.L., Su, Z.Y. 2007. Identifying earthworms through DNA
 barcodes. Pedobiologia 51, 301-309.
- Hudson, M.E., 2007. Sequencing breakthroughs for genomic ecology and evolutionary biology. Mol.
 Ecol. Notes (doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.02019)
- Hulcr, J., Miller, S.E., Setliff, G.P., Darrow, K., Mueller, N.D., Hebert, P.D.N., Weiblen, G.D. 2007.
 DNA barcoding confirms polyphagy in a generalist moth, *Homona mermerodes (Lepidoptera : Tortricidae)*. Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 549-557.

- Hunter, S.J., Goodall, T.I., Walsh, K.A., Owen, R., Day, J.C. 2007. Nondestructive DNA extraction
 from blackflies (*Diptera*: *Simuliidae*): retaining voucher specimens for DNA barcoding
 projects. Mol. Ecol. Notes (doi: 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01879)
- 784 Hurst, G.D.D., Jiggins, F.M., von der Schulenburg, J.H.G., Bertrand, D., West, S.A., Goriacheva, I.I., Zakharov, I.A., Werren, J.H,. Stouthamer, R., Majerus, M.E.N. 1999. Male-killing 785 786 Wolbachia in two species of insect. Proc. Roy. Soc. В. 266, 735-740. 787 (doi:10.1098/rspb.1999.0698.)
- Hurst, G.D., Jiggins, F.M. 2005. Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in population,
 phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited symbionts. Proc. Biol. Sci.
 272, 1525-1534.
- Ivanova, N.V., Zemlak, T.S., Hanner, R.H., Hebert, P.D.N. 2007. Universal primer cocktails for fish
 DNA barcoding. Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 544-548.
- Jaklitsch, W.M., Komon, M., Kubicek, C.P., Druzhinina, I.S. 2006. *Hypocrea crystalligena* sp nov.,
 a common European species with a white-spored Trichoderma anamorph. Mycologia 98, 499 513.
- Johnson, P.L.F., Slatkin, M. 2006. Inference of population genetic parameters in metagenomics: A
 clean look at messy data. Genome Res. 16: 1320-1327
- Kelly, R.P., Sarkar, I.N., Eernisse, D.J., DeSalle, R. 2007. DNA barcoding using chitons (genus *Mopalia*). Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 177-183.
- Kerr, K.C.R., Stoeckle, M.Y., Dove, C.J., Weigt, L.A., Francis, C.M., Hebert, P.D.N. 2007.
 Comprehensive DNA barcode coverage of North American birds. Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 535543.
- Koski, L.B., Golding, G.B. 2001. The closest blast hit is often not the nearest neighbor. J. Mol. Ecol.
 52, 540-542.
- Kress, W.J., Wurdack, K.J., Zimmer, E.A., Weigt, L.A., Janzen, D.H. 2005. Use of DNA barcodes
 to identify flowering plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102, 8369-8374.
- Kress, W.J., Erickson, D.L. 2007. A two-locus global DNA barcode for land plants: the coding *rbcL* gene complements the non-coding *trnHpsbA* spacer region. Plos one 2(6): e508.
 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000508)
- Kumar, N.P., Rajavel, A.R., Natarajan, R., Jambulingam, P. 2007. DNA barcodes can distinguish
 species of Indian mosquitoes (Diptera : *Culicidae*). J. Med. Entomol. 44, 1-7.
- Lahaye, R., van der Bank, M., Bogarin, D., Warner, J., Pupulin, F., Gigot, G., Maurin, O., Duthoit,
 Barraclough, T.G., and Savolainen, V. 2008. DNA barcoding the floras of biodiversity
 hotspots. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105 (8), 2923-2928.
- Lane, C.E., Lindstrom, S.C., Saunders, G.W. 2007. A molecular assessment of northeast Pacific
 Alaria species (Laminariales, *Phaeophyceae*) with reference to the utility of DNA barcoding.
 Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 44, 634-648.
- Lawson, D., Arensburger, P., Atkinson, P., Besansky, N.J., Bruggner, R.V., Butler, R., Campbell,
 K.S., Christophides, G.K., Christley, S., Dialynas, E., Emmert, D., Hammond,M., Hill, C.A.,
 Kennedy, R.C., Lobo, N.F., MacCallum, M.R., Madey, G., Megy, K., Redmond, S., Russo, S.,
 Severson, D.W., Stinson, E.O., Topalis, P., Zdobnov, E.M., Birney, E., Gelbart, W.M.,
 Kafatos, F.C., Louis, C., Collins, F.H. 2007. VectorBase: a home for invertebrate vectors of
 human pathogens. Nucleic Acids Res. 35, D503-505.

- Lefebure, T., Douady, C.J., Gouy, M., Gibert, J. 2006. Relationship between morphological
 taxonomy and molecular divergence within *Crustacea*: Proposal of a molecular threshold to
 help species delimitation. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 40, 435-447.
- Leclerc, M., Juste, C., Marteau, P., Nalin R., Blottiere H., Dore, J. 2007. Intestinal metagenomics
 and nutrition. Annals Nutri. Metabol. 51 (13) (13 Suppl. 1).
- Lipscomb, D., Platnick, N., Wheeler, Q. 2003. The intellectual content of taxonomy: a comment on
 DNA taxonomy. Trends Ecol. Evol. 18, 65-66.
- Litaker, R.W., Vandersea, M.W., Kibler, S.R., Reece, K.S., Stokes, N.A., Lutzoni, F.M., Yonish,
 B.A., West, M.A., Black, M.N.D., Tester, P.A. 2007. Recognizing dinoflagellate species using
 ITS rDNA sequences. J. Phycol. 43, 344-355.
- Little, D.P., Stevenson, D.W. 2007. A comparison of algorithms for the identification of specimens using DNA barcodes: examples from gymnosperms. Cladistics 23, 1-21.
- Lorenz, J.G., Jackson, W.E., Beck, J.C., Hanner, R. 2005. The problems and promise of DNA
 barcodes for species diagnosis of primate biomaterials. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 360, 1869-1877.
- Lynn, D.H., Struder-Kypke, M.C. 2006. Species of *Tetrahymena* identical by small subunit rRNA
 gene sequences are discriminated by mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase I gene sequences. J.
 Eukaryot. Microbiol. 53, 385-387.
- Maiden, M.C.J., Bygraves, J.A., Feil, E., Morelli, G., Russell, J.E., Urwin, R. Zhang, Q., Zhou, J.,
 Zurth, K., Caugant, D.A., Feavers, I.M., Achtman, M., Spratt, B.G. 1996. Multilocus sequence
 typing: A portable approach to the identification of clones within populations of pathogenic
 microorganisms. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 95 (6), 3140-3145.
- Matz, M.V., Nielsen, R. 2005. A likelihood ratio test for species membership based on DNA
 sequence data. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 360, 1969-1974.
- Meyer, C.P., Paulay, G. 2005. DNA barcoding: Error rates based on comprehensive sampling. Plos
 Biology 3, 2229-2238.
- Miller, S.E. 2007. DNA barcoding and the renaissance of taxonomy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.
 104, 4775-4776.
- Min, X.J., Hickey, D.A. 2007. Assessing the effect of varying sequence length on DNA barcoding of
 fungi. Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 365-373.
- Neigel, J., Domingo, A., Stake, J. 2007. DNA barcoding as a tool for coral reef conservation. Coral
 Reefs 26, 487-499.
- Nielsen, R., Matz, M. 2006. Statistical approaches for DNA barcoding. Syst. Biol. 55, 162-169.
- Page, T.J., Choy, S.C., Hughes, J.M. 2005. The taxonomic feedback loop: symbiosis of morphology
 and molecules. Biol. Lett.1, 139-142.
- Paquin, P., Hedin, M. 2004. The power and perils of "molecular taxonomy": a case study of eyeless
 and endangered Cicurina (*Araneae: Dictynidae*) from Texas caves. Mol. Ecol. 13: 3239-3255.
- Passmore, A.J., Jarman, S.N., Swadling, K.M., Kawaguchi, S., McMinn, A., Nicol, S. 2006. DNA as
 a Dietary Biomarker in Antarctic Krill, *Euphausia superba*. Mar. Biotech. 8, 686-696.
- 862 Pennisi, E. 2007 Wanted: A Barocde for Plants. Science 318(2): 190-191.
- Pegg, G.G., Sinclair, B., Briskey, L., Aspden, W.J. 2006. MtDNA barcode identification of fish
 larvae in the southern Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Scientia Marina* 70, 7-12.

- Pfenninger, M., Nowak, C., Kley, C., Steinke, D. Streit, B. 2007. Utility of DNA taxonomy and
 barcoding for the inference of larval community structure in morphologically cryptic *Chironomus (Diptera)* species. Mol. Ecol. 16, 1957-1968.
- Pons, T., Gonzalez, B., Ceciliani, F., Galizzi, A. 2006. FlgM anti-sigma factors: identification of
 novel members of the family, evolutionary analysis, homology modeling, and analysis of
 sequence-structure-function relationships. J. Mol. Model. 12 (6), 973-983.
- Pook, C.E., McEwing, R. 2005. Mitochondrial DNA sequences from dried snake venom: a DNA
 barcoding approach to the identification of venom samples. Toxicon 46, 711-715.
- Rastogi, G., Dharne, M.S., Walujkar, S., Kumar, A., Patole, M.S., Shouche, Y.S. 2007. Species
 identification and authentication of tissues of animal origin using mitochondrial and nuclear
 markers. Meat Sci. 76, 666-674.
- Ratnasingham, S., Hebert, P.D.N. 2007. BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System
 (www.barcodinglife.org). Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 355-364.
- Remigio, E.A., Hebert, PDN. 2003. Testing the utility of partial COI sequences for phylogenetic
 estimates of Gastropod relationships. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 29, 641-647.
- Richly, E., Leister, D. 2004. NUMTs in sequenced eukaryotic genomes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21, 10811084.
- Robba, L., Russell, S.J., Barker, G.L., Brodie, J. 2006. Assessing the use of the mitochondrial cox1
 marker for use in DNA barcoding of red algae (*Rhodophyta*). Am. J. Bot. 93, 1101-1108.
- Ross, H.A., Murugan, S., Li, W.L.S. 2008. Testing the reliability of genetic methods of species
 identification via simulation. *Systematic Biology* 57:216-230.
- Rot, C., Goldfarb, I., Ilan, M., Huchon, D. 2006 Putative cross-kingdom horizontal gene transfer in
 sponge (*Porifera*) mitochondria. BMC Evol. Biol. 6(71). (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-6-71)
- Rowley, D.L., Coddington, J., Norrbom, A., Ochoa, R., Vandenberg, N., Greenstone, M.H. 2007.
 Vouchering specimens for documenting arthropod barcodes: a non-destructive method for
 DNA extraction. Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 915-924.
- Rubinoff, D., Holland, B.S. 2005. Between two extremes: mitochondrial DNA is neither the panacea
 nor the nemesis of phylogenetic and taxonomic inference. Syst. Biol. 54,92-961.
- Rubinoff, D. 2006a. Utility of mitochondrial DNA barcodes in species conservation. Cons. Biol. 20,
 1026-1033.
- Rubinoff, D. 2006b. DNA barcoding evolves into the familiar. Cons. Biol. 20, 1548-1549.
- Rubinoff, D., Cameron, S. Will, K. 2006. Are plant DNA barcodes a search for the Holy Grail?
 Trends Ecol. Evol. 21, 1-2.
- 898 Rusch, D.B., Halpern, A.L., Sutton, G., Heidelberg, K.B., Williamson, S., Yooseph, S., Wu, D., 899 Eisen, J.A., Hoffman, J.M., Remington, K., Beeson, K., Tran, B., Smith, H., Baden-Tillson, H., Stewart, C., Thorpe, J., Freeman, J., Andrews-Pfannkoch, C., Venter, J.E., Li, K., Kravitz, S., 900 901 Heidelberg, J.F., Utterback, T., Rogers, Y-H., Falcon, L.I., Souza, V., Bonilla-Rosso, G., 902 Eguiarte, L.E., Karl, D.M., Sathyendranath, S., Platt, T., Bermingham, E., Gallardo, V., Tamayo-Castillo, G., Ferrari, M.R., Strausberg, R.L., Nealson, K., Friedman, R., Frazier, M., 903 Venter, J.C. 2007. The Sorcerer II Global Ocean Sampling expedition: Northwest Atlantic 904 905 through eastern tropical Pacific. Plos Biol. 5(3) e77, 398-431. 906 (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050077)
- Sarkar, I.N., Joseph, W.T., Paul, J.P., David, H.F., Bernd, S., Rob., D. 2002a. An automated
 phylogenetic key for classifying homeoboxes. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 24, 388-399.

- Sarkar I.N., Paul, J.P., Bael, T.E., Stanley, S.E., Siddall, M., DeSalle, R., David, H.F. 2002b.
 Characteristic attributes in cancer microarrays. J. Biomed. Info. 35, 111-122.
- Saunders, G.W. 2005. Applying DNA barcoding to red *macroalgae*: a preliminary appraisal holds
 promise for future applications. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 360, 1879-1888.
- 913 Schindel, D.E., Miller, S.E. 2005. DNA barcoding a useful tool for taxonomists. Nature 435, 17-17.
- Schreiber, M.J., Ong, S.H., Holland, R.C.G., Hibberd, M.L., Vasudevan, S.G., Mitchell, W.P.,
 Holmes, E.C. 2007. DengueInfo: A web portal to dengue information resources. Infect. Genet.
 Evol. 540-541.
- 917 Scicluna, S.M., Tawari, B., Clark, C.G. 2006. DNA barcoding of *Blastopystis*. Protist 157, 77-85.
- Seifert, K.A., Samson, R.A., Dewaard, J.R., Houbraken, J., Levesque, C.A., Moncalvo, J.M., LouisSeize, G., Hebert, P.D.N. 2007. Prospects for fungus identification using C01 DNA barcodes,
 with *Penicillium* as a test case. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 3901-3906.
- Sevilla, R.G., Diez, A., Noren, M., Mouchel, O., Jerome, M., Verrez-Bagnis, V., van Pelt, H., FavreKrey, L., Krey, G., Bautista, J.M. 2007. Primers and polymerase chain reaction conditions for
 DNA barcoding teleost fish based on the mitochondrial cytochrome b and nuclear rhodopsin
 genes. Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 730-734.
- Shenoy, B.D., Jeewon, R., Hyde, K.A. 2007. Impact of DNA sequence-data on the taxonomy of
 anamorphic fungi. Fungal Div. 26, 1-54.
- Smith, M.A., Fisher, B.L. Hebert, P.D.N. 2005. DNA barcoding for effective biodiversity
 assessment of a hyperdiverse arthropod group: the ants of Madagascar. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B
 360, 1825-1834.
- Smith, G.F., Roux, J.P., Tolley, K. Conrad, F. 2006a. Taxonomy and barcoding: conflict or
 companions? S. Af. J. Sci. 102, 517-518.
- Smith, M.A., Woodley, N.E., Janzen, D.H., Hallwachs, W., Hebert, P.D.N. 2006b. DNA barcodes
 reveal cryptic host-specificity within the presumed polyphagous members of a genus of
 parasitoid flies (*Diptera : Tachinidae*). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 3657-3662.
- Smith, M.A., Wood, D.M., Janzen, D.H., Hallwachs, W., Hebert, P.D.N. 2007. DNA barcodes
 affirm that 16 species of apparently generalist tropical parasitoid flies (Diptera, *Tachinidae*)
 are not all generalists. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104(12), 4967-4972.
- Sogin, M.L., Morrison, H.G., Huber, J.A., Welch, D.M., Huse, S.M., Neal, P.R., Arrieta, J.M.,
 Herndl, G.J. 2006. Microbial diversity in the deep sea and the underexplored "rare biosphere".
 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 12115-12120.
- Strugnell, J.M., Lindgren, A.R. 2007. A barcode of life database for the *Cephalopoda*?
 Considerations and concerns. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 17, 337-344.
- Sugita, T., Nishikawa, A., Shinoda, T. 1998. Identification of *trichosporon asahii* by PCR based on
 sequences of the internal transcribed spacer regions. J. of clinical microbiol. 2742–2744.
- Summerbell, R.C., Levesque, C.A., Seifert, K.A., Bovers, M., Fell, J.W., Diaz, M.R., Boekhout, T.,
 de Hoog, G.S., Stalpers, J., Crous, P.W. 2005. Microcoding: the second step in DNA
 barcoding. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 360, 1897-1903.
- Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F., Gielly, L., Miquel, C., Valentini, A., Vermat, T., Corthier,
 G., Brochmann, C., Willerslev, E. 2007. Power and limitations of the chloroplast *trnL* (UAA)
 intron for plant DNA barcoding. Nucleic Acids Res. 35 (3), e14.
- Tavares, E.S., and Baker, A.J. 2008. Single mitochondrial gene barcodes reliably identify sister species in diverse clades of birds. BMC Evol. Biol. 8: 81

- 953 Terranova, M.S., Brutto, S.L., M. Arculeo, M., Mitton, J.B. 2007. A mitochondrial phylogeography
 954 of *Brachidontes variabilis (Bivalvia: Mytilidae)* reveals three cryptic species J. Zool. Syst.
 955 Evol. Res. 45(4), 289-298.
- Teletchea, F., Bernillon, J., Duffraisse, M., Laudet, V., Hänni, C. 2008 Molecular identification of
 vertebrate species by oligonucleotide microarray in food and forensic samples. J. of App.
 Ecol.(doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01415.x)
- Thalmann, O., Hebler, J., Poinar, H.N., Paabo, S., Vigilant, L. 2004. Unreliable mtDNA data due to
 nuclear insertions: a cautionary tale from analysis of humans and other great apes. Mol. Ecol.
 13, 321-335.
- Thiyagarajan, S., Karhanek, M., Akhras, M., Davis, R.W., Pourmand, N. 2006. PathogenMIPer: a tool for the design of molecular inversion probes to detect multiple pathogens. BMC Bioinform. 7. (doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-500).
- 965 Tringe, S.G., von Mering, C., Kobayashi, A., Salamov, A.A., Chen, K., Chang, H.W., Podar, M.,
 966 Short, J.M., Mathur, E.J., Detter, J.C., Bork, P., Hugenholtz, P., Rubin, E.M. 2005.
 967 Comparative metagenomics of microbial communities. Science 308, 554-557.
- Tyson, G.W., Chapman, J., Hugenholtz, P., Allen, E.E., Ram, R.J., Richardson, P.M., Solovyev,
 V.V., Rubin, E.M., Rokhsar, D.S., Banfield, J.F. 2004. Community structure and metabolism
 through reconstruction of microbial genomes from the environment. Nature 428, 37-43.
- Van Velzen, R., Freek, T., Bakker, L., Van Loon, J.J.A. 2007. DNA barcoding reveals hidden
 species diversity in Cymothoe (*Nymphalidae*). Proc. Neth. Entomol. Soc. Meet. 18, 95-103.
- Vences, M., Thomas, M., Van der Meijden, A., Chiari, Y., Vieites, D.R. 2004. Performance of 16S
 rRNA in DNA barcoding of amphibians. Integr. Comp. Biol. 44, 657-657.
- Vences, M., Thomas, M., Bonett, R.M., Vieites, D.R. 2005. Deciphering amphibian diversity
 through DNA barcoding: chances and challenges. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B 360, 18591868.
- Venter JC, Remington K, Heidelberg JF, Halpern AL, Rusch D, Eisen JA, Wu D, Paulsen I, Nelson
 KE, Nelson W, Fouts DE, Levy S, Knap AH, Lomas MW, Nealson K, White O, Peterson J,
 Hoffman J, Parsons R, Baden-Tillson H, Pfannkoch C, Rogers Y, Smith HO: Environmental
 genome shotgun sequencing of the sargasso sea. Science 2004 304, 66-74.
- Vogler, A.P., Monaghan, M.T. 2007. Recent advances in DNA taxonomy. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res.
 45(1), 1-10.
- Ward, R.D., Zemlak, T.S., Innes, B.H., Last, P.R., Hebert, P.D.N. 2005. DNA barcoding Australia's
 fish species. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B. 360, 1847-1857.
- Webb, K.E., Barnes, D.K.A., Clark, M.S., Bowden, D.A. 2006. DNA barcoding: A molecular tool to
 identify Antarctic marine larvae. Deep-Sea Res. Part II 53, 1053-1060.
- Weeks, A.R., Turelli, M., Harcombe, W.R., Reynolds, K.T., Hoffmann, A.A. 2007. From parasite to
 mutualist: Rapid evolution of *Wolbachia* in natural populations of *Drosophila*. Plos Biol 5(5),
 e114. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050114)
- Whitworth, T.L., Dawson, R.D., Magalon, H., Baudry, E. 2007. DNA barcoding cannot reliably
 identify species of the blowfly genus *Protocalliphora (Diptera : Calliphoridae)*. Proc. Roy.
 Soc. Lond. B 274, 1731-1739.
- Wiemer, M., Fiedler, K. 2007. Does the DNA barcoding gap exist? a case study in blue butterflies
 (*Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae*). Front. Zool. 4(8) (doi:10.1186/1742-9994-4-8).

- Winnenburg, R., Baldwin1, T.K., Urban1, M., Rawlings, C. Kohler, J., Hammond-Kosack, K.E.
 2006. Nucleic Acids Res. 34, D459-464 (doi:10.1093/nar/gkj047).
- Willams, S.T., Knowlton, N. 2001. Mitochondrial pseudogenes are pervasive and often insidious in
 the snapping shrimp genus *Alpheus*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 18,1484-1493
- 1000 Wilson, O.E. 2004. The encyclopedia of life. Trends Ecol. Evol. 18(2), 77-80.
- Wirth, T., Le Guellec, R., Veuille, M. 1999. Directional Substitution and Evolution of Nucleotide
 Content in the Cytochrome Oxidase II Gene in Earwigs (Dermapteran Insects). Mol. Biol.
 Evol. 16(12), 1645-1653.
- Wirth, T., Falush, D., Lan, R., Colles, F., Mensa, P., Wieler, L.H., Karch, H., Reeves, P.R., Maiden,
 M.C.J., Ochman, H., Achtman, M. 2006. Sex and virulence in *Escherichia coli*: an
 evolutionary perspective. Mol. Microbiol. 60 (5), 1136-1151.
- 1007 Woese, C.R. 1996. Phylogenetic trees: whither microbiology? Current biol. 1060-1063.
- 1008 Xiao, M., Gordon, M.P., Phong, A., Ha, C., Chan, T.F., Cai, D.M., Selvin, P.R., Kwok, P.Y. 2007.
- 1009 Determination of haplotypes from single DNA molecules: A method for single-molecule 1010 barcoding. Hum. Mut. 28, 913-921.
- 1011 Zhou, J., Davey M.E., Figueras, J.B., Rivkina, E., Gilichinsky, D., Tiedje, J.M. 1997. Phylogenetic
- diversity of a bacterial community determined from Siberian tundra soil DNA. Microbiol. 143,3913-3919.

1014